Distribution	p.d.f.	mean	variance	C.F.	F. Info	M.S/C.S	UMVUE	Prior	Posterior
$\mathrm{Normal}(\theta,\sigma^2)$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\exp\left(-\frac{(x-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$	$\theta$	$\sigma^2$	$\exp(i\theta t - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{-4} \end{pmatrix}$	$(\sum X_i, \sum X_i^2)$	$(\bar{X}, \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1})$	$\theta \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$	$N(\frac{\frac{\mu}{\tau^2} + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\sigma^2}}{\frac{1}{\tau^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}}, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\tau^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}})$
$\operatorname{Poisson}(\lambda)$	$\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}/x!$	$\lambda$	$\lambda$	$\exp(\lambda(e^{it}-1))$	$\lambda^{-1}$	$\sum X_i$	$ar{X}$	$\Gamma(lpha,eta)$	$\Gamma(\alpha + \sum x_i, \beta + n)$
Binomial(n, p)	$\binom{n}{x}p^x(1-p)^{n-x}$	np	np(1-p)	$(1 - p + pe^{it})^n$	$\frac{n}{p(1-p)}$	$X$ or $\sum X_i$	$\bar{X}$ or $X/n$	$\mathrm{Beta}(\alpha,\beta)$	$\alpha + \sum x_i,  \beta + n - \sum x_i$
$\operatorname{Gamma}(\alpha,\beta)$	$\frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}x^{\alpha-1}e^{-\beta x}$	$\alpha/\beta$	$\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$	$(1-\frac{it}{\beta})^{-\alpha}$	-			$\Gamma(lpha_0,eta_0)$	$\alpha_0 + n\alpha,  \beta_0 + \sum x_i$
$\mathrm{Beta}(\alpha,\beta)$	$\frac{1}{B(\alpha,\beta)}x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}$	$\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+\beta)}$	$\frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha+\beta)^2(\alpha+\beta+1)}$	-	-				
$\mathrm{Uniform}(\theta)$	$\frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{I}_{x \in (0,\theta)}$	$rac{1}{2} heta$	$\frac{1}{12}\theta^2$	$\frac{e^{it\theta}-1}{it\theta}$		$X_{(n)}$	$\frac{n+1}{n}X_{(n)}$	$\mathrm{Pa}(\alpha,c)$	$Pa(\alpha + n, max(x_{(n)}, c))$
$\operatorname{Uniform}(a,b)$	$\tfrac{1}{b-a}\mathbb{I}_{x\in(a,b)}$	$\frac{1}{2}(b+a)$	$\frac{1}{12}(b-a)^2$	$\frac{e^{itb} - e^{ita}}{it(b-a)}$					
$\mathrm{U}\{1,\cdots,N\}$	$\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{I}_{x\in\{1,\cdots,N\}}$	$\frac{N+1}{2}$	$\frac{(N+1)(N-1)}{12}$						
Pareto $(\alpha, x_m)$	$\frac{\alpha x_m^{\alpha}}{x^{\alpha+1}}, \ x \ge x_m$	$\frac{\alpha x_m}{\alpha - 1}$	$\frac{x_m^2\alpha}{(\alpha-1)^2(\alpha-2)}$	-	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{x_m^2} & -\frac{1}{x_m} \\ -\frac{1}{x_m} & \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \end{pmatrix}$				
NB(r, p)	$\binom{x+r-1}{x}(1-p)^r p^x$	$\frac{pr}{1-p}$	$\frac{pr}{(1-p)^2}$	$\left(\frac{1-p}{1-pe^{it}}\right)^r$	$\frac{r}{(1-p)^2p}$	$\sum X_i$			
Geom(p)	$(1-p)^{x-1}p$	$\frac{1}{p}$	$\frac{1-p}{p^2}$	$\frac{pe^{it}}{1 - (1 - p)e^{it}}$					
Inv. $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$	$\frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}x^{-\alpha-1}\exp(-\frac{\beta}{x})$	$\frac{\beta}{\alpha-1}$	$\frac{\beta^2}{(\alpha-1)^2(\alpha-2)}$	If	$X \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$	Then	$\frac{1}{X} \stackrel{D}{=} Y$	where	$Y \sim \text{Inv. } \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$
Cauchy $(x_0, \gamma)$	$\frac{1}{\pi\gamma[1+(\frac{x-x_0}{\gamma})^2]}$	NA	NA	$\exp(x_0it - \gamma t )$					
Weibull $(\lambda, k)$	$\frac{k}{\lambda} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{k-1} e^{(x/\lambda)^k}$	$\lambda\Gamma(rac{k+1}{k})$	$\sigma^2$ where	$\sigma^2 = \lambda^2 [\Gamma(1 +$	$2/k) - (\Gamma(1+1/k))^2$	$\mathrm{cdf} =$	$1 - e^{-(x/\lambda)^k}$		
HyperGeom	$\frac{\binom{K}{k}\binom{N-K}{n-k}}{\binom{N}{n}}$	$n rac{K}{N}$	$n\frac{K}{N}\frac{N-K}{N}\frac{N-n}{N-1}$						

# UNBIASEDNESS

**Setup.** Consider a set of probability measures  $\{P_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$  on a sample space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$ , dominated by a  $\sigma$ 0finite measure (this assumption holds throughout, unless explicitly stated). Observe  $X \sim P_{\theta}$  for some  $\theta \in \Theta$ , and infer  $\theta$ . Let  $L(\theta, \delta(X))$ , be the loss function from estimating  $\theta$  with  $\delta(X)$ .

**Def (Dominate in measure).** We say P dominates Q if  $P(A) = 0 \implies Q(A) = 0$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Def (Risk fn).  $R(\theta, \delta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[L(\theta, \delta(\mathbf{X}))].$ 

**Def (Unbiased).** An estimator  $\delta(\mathbf{X})$  is unbiased for  $g(\theta)$  if  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\delta(X) = g(\theta)$ ,  $\forall \theta \in \Theta$ .

**Def (Minimax).** An estimator  $\delta_0$  is minimax for estimating  $g(\theta)$  if, for all other estimators  $\delta$ ,  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R(g(\theta), \delta_0) \leq \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R(g(\theta), \delta)$ . The minimax risk of any estimator  $\delta$  is  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R(g(\theta), \delta)$ .

**Def (Bayes Risk).** Under a prior model  $\theta \sim \pi(\theta)$ .  $r(\pi, \delta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \pi}[R(\theta, \delta)] = \mathbb{E}[L(\theta, \delta(\mathbf{X}))].$ 

**Def (Statistic).** A measurable function  $T: (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) \to (\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{B})$ .

**Def (Sufficient)** A statistic T is called sufficient for  $\theta$  (or for  $\{P_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$ ) if the conditional distribution of X|T is independent of  $\theta$ .

Thm (Neyman-Fisher Factorization Criterion). Suppose  $\{P_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$  is a collection of probability measures on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$ , which are dominated by a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\gamma$ . Let  $X \sim P_{\theta}$  for some  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Then T is sufficient for  $\theta$  iff  $p_{\theta}(x) = g_{\theta}(T(x))h(x)$  a.s.  $\gamma$ , for some  $g_{\theta}$ , h, where  $p_{\theta}(\cdot) = dP_{\theta}/d\gamma$ .

**Def (Exp. Fam.)**  $\{P_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$  (dominated by  $\sigma$ -finite

measure) is said to form a k-dimensional exponential family if the corresponding pdfs are of the form  $p_{\theta}(x) = \exp\{\sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i(\theta) T_i(x) - B(\theta)\}h(x),$ 

where  $h, T_1, \dots, T_j : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $B, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k : \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$ .

**Def (Support).** The support of a density is the set where the density is strictly positive.

Thm (Pitman-Koopman-Darmois). Suppose  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are iid with density  $\{p_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$ , which are continuous in x for fixed  $\theta$  and supported on an interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose there exists a sufficient statistic  $(T_1, \dots, T_k)$  with continuous components.

(i) If k = 1, then  $p_{\theta}(x) = e^{\eta(\theta)T(x) - B(\theta)}h(x)$ .

(ii) If n > k > 1, and  $x \mapsto p_{\theta}(x)$  is  $C^1$ , then  $p_{\theta}(x) = \exp\{\sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i(\theta) T_i(x) - B(\theta)\} h(x)$ .

**Def (M.S.).** Let S be sufficient for  $\theta$ . S is Minimal Sufficient if, given any other sufficient statistic T, there exists a measurable fn. h s.t. S(x) = h(T(x)) a.s.  $P_{\theta}$ ,  $\forall \theta \in \Theta$ .

Thm (Bahadur). Let  $X \sim P_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued RV. Then a MS statistic exists.

Thm (M.S.). If  $\Theta_0 = \{\theta_0, ..., \theta_k\}$  and  $p_\theta$  have common support  $I \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ , then  $T(x) = \left(\frac{p_{\theta_1}(X)}{p_{\theta_0}(X)}, ..., \frac{p_{\theta_k}(X)}{p_{\theta_0}(X)}\right)$  is M.S.

**Thm** (M.S.). Let  $\{P_{\theta}: \theta \in \Theta\}$  be a collection of dominated probability measures with common support, and  $\Theta_0 \subseteq \Theta$ . If T is sufficient for  $\{P_{\theta}: \theta \in \Theta\}$ , and M.S for  $\{P_{\theta}: \theta \in \Theta_0\}$ , then T is M.S for  $\{P_{\theta}: \theta \in \Theta\}$ .

Thm (Lehman-Scheffe Partitions). Suppose T(x) = T(y) iff the ratio  $p_{\theta}(x)/p_{\theta}(y)$  is independent of  $\theta$ . Then T is M.S.

Rigorous formulation: Suppose  $\{P_{\theta}: \theta \in \Theta\}$  is a dominated by a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\nu$ . Suppose T(x) = T(y) iff  $\exists \alpha, \beta > 0$  (depending on x, y) s.t.  $\alpha p_{\theta}(x) = \beta p_{\theta}(y), \forall \theta$ . Then T is M.S.

Thm (M.S. for Exp. Fam.). Let  $\{P_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$  be an exponential family of the form

 $p_{\theta}(x) = \exp\{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_{i}(\theta) T_{i}(x) - B(\theta)\} h(x), \text{ and let } \overline{\eta} = \{(\eta_{1}(\theta), ..., \eta_{k}(\theta)) : \theta \in \Theta\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k}.$ 

(a) If  $\exists \mathbf{v_0}, \mathbf{v_1}, \cdots, \mathbf{v_k} \in \overline{\eta} \text{ s.t. } \{\mathbf{v_1} - \mathbf{v_0}, \cdots, \mathbf{v_k} - \mathbf{v_0}\}$  are lin. indep., then  $(T_1, \cdots, T_k)$  is M.S.

(b) If  $(\overline{\eta})^0 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $(T_1, \dots, T_k)$  is M.S.

**Def (C.S.)** A suff. stat. T is **complete** for  $\theta$  if  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta} f(T) = 0, \forall \theta \in \Theta \implies f(T) = 0 \text{ a.s. } P_{\theta}, \forall \theta.$ 

**Lemma (MGF).** If  $\mathbb{E}e^{tX} = \mathbb{E}e^{tY}, \forall t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ , then  $X \stackrel{D}{=} Y$ .

Thm (C.S. for Exp. Fam.). In the previous setting, if  $(\overline{\eta})^0 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $(T_1, ..., T_k)$  is C.S.

Thm (C.S. & M.S.). If  $\exists$  a CS statistic T and  $\exists$  an MS statistic U, then T is M.S. (and U C.S.).

**Def (Ancillary).** A statistic S is ancillary for  $\theta$  if the distribution of S is free of  $\theta$ .

**Thm (Basu).** If T is C.S and V is ancillary, then T and V are independent (under  $P_{\theta}, \forall \theta \in \Theta$ ).

**Def.**  $\mathcal{U} = \{U : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{E}_{\theta}U(X)^2 < \infty, \mathbb{E}_{\theta}U(X) = 0, \forall \theta \in \Theta\}$ 

 $\Delta = \{\delta : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \delta(X) = g(\theta), \operatorname{Var}(\delta(X)) < \infty \}.$ Note if  $\Delta \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{U} + \delta = \Delta, \forall \delta \in \Delta$ .

**Def (UMVUE).** An estimator  $\delta_0 \in \Delta$  is UMVUE if,  $\forall \delta \in \Delta$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}_{\theta} \delta_0(X) \leq \operatorname{Var}_{\theta} \delta(X), \forall \theta \in \Theta$ .

**Thm.**  $\delta_0$  is UMVUE iff  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\delta_0(X)U(X) = 0, \forall U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

**Def (Convexity).**  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  is convex if  $x \in C, y \in C \implies \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in C, \forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$ . A function  $f: C \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex if  $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \le \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y), \forall x, y \in C$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Change to < for strictly convex.

**Remark.** If  $\nabla \phi$  exist, then  $\phi$  is convex iff  $\phi(y) \ge \phi(x) + (y-x)^T \nabla \phi(x), \forall x \ne y$  (> for strictly convex). If  $\phi$  is twice differentiable, then  $\phi$  is (strictly) convex if  $H = \left[\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right]_{i,j}$  exists and is +ve (semi)definite.

Thm (Jensen's Inequality). Let  $\phi: I \to \mathbb{R}$  be convex, where  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an interval.

- (a) If  $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ , then  $\mathbb{E}\phi(x) \ge \phi(\mathbb{E}X)$ .
- (b) If  $\phi$  is strictly convex, strict inequality holds above, unless  $X = \mathbb{E} X$  a.s.

Note this also holds for conditional expectations

Thm (Rao-Blackwell). Let T be sufficient for  $\theta$ . (a) If  $\delta(X)$  is unbiased for  $g(\theta)$  and  $a \mapsto L(g(\theta), a)$  is convex, then  $\eta(T) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\delta(X)|T]$  is unbiased and  $R(g(\theta), \eta(T)) \leq R(g(\theta), \delta(X)), \forall \theta \in \Theta$ .

(b) If  $\delta_0$  is unbiased and has finite risk  $\forall \theta$ , and  $a \mapsto L(g(\theta), a)$  is strictly convex, then  $R(g(\theta), \eta(T)) < R(g(\theta), \delta(X)), \forall \theta$ , unless  $\delta$  is a function of T a.s.  $P_{\theta}, \forall \theta \in \Theta$ .

Corollary (UMVUE) If  $\delta(X)$  is an unbiased estimate of  $g(\theta)$  and T is C.S., then  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\delta(X)|T]$  is the UMVUE.

**Defn (Score func).** For  $\Theta = \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $\mathbf{S} = (\partial_{\theta_1} \log p_{\theta}(X), \dots, \partial_{\theta_k} \log p_{\theta}(X))^T$ .

Defn (Fisher Info).  $I(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\partial_{\theta} \log p_{\theta}(X))^{2}$ . On  $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ ,  $I(\theta) = [\mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\partial_{\theta_{i}} \log p_{\theta}(X))(\partial_{\theta_{j}} \log p_{\theta}(X))]_{i,j}$ .

**Remark.** If  $\eta = \tau(\theta)$  :  $\tau \in C^1, \tau'(\theta) \neq 0$ , then  $I(\tau(\theta)) = I(\theta)/\tau'(\theta)^2$ .

On  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , the information matrix is +ve semi-definite (symmetry is obvious) because  $I(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{SS}^T]$ .

Thm (CRLB/Information Inequality). Suppose (a)  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an open interval.

- (b)  $\{p_{\theta}(x), \theta \in \Theta\}$  have common support.
- (c)  $p'_{\theta}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p_{\theta}(x)$  exists and is finite for all x and  $\theta$ .
- (d)  $\partial_{\theta} \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_{\theta}(x) d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \partial_{\theta} p_{\theta}(x) d\mu$ .

Let  $\delta(X)$  be an estimator s.t.  $\mathbb{E}[\delta(X)^2] < \infty$ , and  $I(\theta) \in (0,\infty)$ , and  $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \delta(x) \partial_{\theta} p_{\theta}(x) d\mu = \partial_{\theta} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \delta(x) p_{\theta}(x) d\mu$ . Then  $\operatorname{Var}(\delta(X)) \geq [\partial_{\theta} \mathbb{E}\delta(X)]^2 / I(\theta)$ .

(this is just  $g'(\theta)^2/I(\theta)$  for unbiased estimators).

**Remark.** If equality holds,  $p_{\theta}(x)$  is a 1-parameter exp. fam. and  $\delta(X)$  is the natural sufficient stat.

**Lemma (Fisher info).** Assume (a) - (d) and  $I(\theta) < \infty$ . Then  $I(\theta) = \text{Var}(\partial_{\theta} \log(p_{\theta}(x)))$ .

If, in addition,  $p''_{\theta}(x)$  exists  $\forall \theta, x$ , and  $\partial_{\theta}^{2} \int p_{\theta}(x) d\mu = \int \partial_{\theta}^{2} p_{\theta}(x) d\mu$ , then  $I(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}[\partial_{\theta}^{2} \log(p_{\theta}(X))]$ .

**Thm.** Let  $p_{\theta}(x) = e^{\eta(\theta)T(x)-B(\theta)}h(x)$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$ , an open interval. Let  $\tau(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[T(X)]$ , and assume T is not constant. Then

- (a)  $\tau'(\theta) \neq 0$  and  $I(\tau(\theta)) = 1/\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(T)$ .
- (b)  $I(h(\theta)) = [\eta'(\theta)/h'(\theta)]^2 \operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(T)$ .

Propn (regularity of exp. fam.). Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $G(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \exp\{\sum \theta_i t_i(x)\} h(x) d\mu$ , and  $\Omega = \{\theta : G(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) < \infty\}$ . Then

- (a)  $\Omega$  is convex and  $\theta \mapsto \log G(\theta)$  is convex on  $\Omega$ .
- (b) Let  $\Omega_0$  be the interior of  $\Omega$  and assume  $\Omega_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Then, on  $\Theta_0$ ,  $\theta \mapsto G(\theta)$  is infinitely differentiable and the derivatives can be taken inside the integral, e.g.  $\partial_{\theta_i} G = \int_{\mathcal{X}} t_i(x) \exp\{\sum \theta_i t_i(x)\} h(x) d\mu$ .

**Remark.** Similar conclusions hold with the normalizing constant  $e^{-B(\theta)}$ . Moreover,  $B(\theta) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ .

**Remark.** For a general function  $\eta: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ , all conclusions hold at  $\theta = \theta_0$ , provided  $\eta(\theta_0)$  is an interior point if  $\overline{\eta} = \{\eta: \int e^{\eta t(x)} h(x) d\mu < \infty\}$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ .

**Propn.** If  $p_n(x) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i T_i(x) - A(\eta)} h(x)$ , and  $\eta \in \overline{\eta}$ ,

- $E_{\eta}(T_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial n_i} A(\eta)$
- $Cov_{\eta}(T_j, T_k) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n_i \partial n_k} A(\eta)$ .

If  $p_{\theta}(x) = \exp\{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i(\theta) T_i(x) - B(\theta)\} h(x), \ \eta(\theta_0) \in \overline{\eta},$ 

- If k = 1, then  $E_{\theta_0}(T(X)) = B'(\theta_0)/\eta'(\theta_0)$  and  $Var(T(X)) = \frac{B''(\theta)}{\eta'(\theta)^2} \frac{\eta''(\theta)B'(\theta)}{\eta'(\theta)^3}$ .
- If k > 1, then  $E_{\theta}(T(X)) = J^{-1}\nabla B$ , where  $J = \{\frac{\partial \eta_j}{\partial \theta_i}\}_{ij}$  and  $\nabla B = \{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}B(\theta)\}_i$ .

Propn (regularity of the estimator). Let  $\delta(X)$  be an estimator s.t.  $\operatorname{Var}(\delta(X)) < \infty$ . Then  $\partial_{\theta} \int \delta(x) p_{\theta}(x) d\mu = \int \delta(X) \partial_{\theta} p_{\theta}(x) d\mu$ , at any  $\theta_0 \in (\Omega)^0$ , provided  $\exists b(x)$  s.t.  $|\frac{P_{\theta_0+h}(x)-p_{\theta_0}(x)}{hp_{\theta_0}(x)}| \leq b(x)$  for all sufficiently small h, and  $\int b(x) |\delta(x)| p_{\theta}(x) d\mu < \infty$  (in particular, this will hold if  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[b(X)^2] < \infty$ , by Cauchy-Schwarz).

Propn (regularity of estimator in exp. fam.). Let  $p_{\theta}(x) = e^{\eta(\theta)t(x)-B(\theta)}h(x)$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  (so that  $B \in C^{\infty}$ ). If  $\delta(X)$  is an estimator with  $\mathrm{Var}(\delta(X)) < \infty$ , then  $\partial_{\theta} \int \delta(x)p_{\theta}(x)d\mu = \int \delta(x)\partial_{\theta}p_{\theta}(x)d\mu$ .

# Thm (Multi-parameter CRLB). Suppose

- (a)  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  is an open set.
- (b)  $\{p_{\theta}(x), \theta \in \Theta\}$  have common support.
- (c)  $\partial_{\theta_i} p_{\theta}(x)$  exists,  $\forall i, x, \theta$ , and is finite.
- (d)  $\partial_{\theta_i} \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_{\theta}(x) d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \partial_{\theta_i} p_{\theta}(x) d\mu$ .
- (e)  $\partial_{\theta_i} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \delta(x) p_{\theta}(x) d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \delta(x) \partial_{\theta_i} p_{\theta}(x) d\mu$ .
- (f)  $I(\theta)$  is finite and +ve definite.

Then we have  $\operatorname{Var}(\delta(X)) \geq \alpha^T I(\theta)^{-1} \alpha$ , where  $\alpha_i = \partial_{\theta_i} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \delta(X)$ . In particular, if  $\delta(X)$  is unbiased for  $g(\theta)$ ,  $\alpha_i = \partial_{\theta_i} g(\theta)$ .

## AVERAGE RISK OPTIMALITY

**Setup.** Suppose  $\{P_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$  is a collection of probability measures on  $\mathcal{X}$  dominated by a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$ . Assume now that  $\theta$  is a random variable on  $\Theta$ , with prior distn.  $\pi$ . Suppose we want to estimate  $g(\theta)$ . The risk function is still  $R(g(\theta), \delta) = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim P_{\theta}} L(g(\theta), \delta(X)) = \mathbb{E}[L(g(\theta), \delta(X))|\theta]$ .

**Def (Bayes risk)** of  $\delta$ :  $r(\pi, \delta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \pi}[R(g(\theta), \delta)]$ 

**Def (Bayes estimator).**  $\delta_0$  is a Bayes estimator if  $r(\pi, \delta_0) \leq r(\pi, \delta)$  for any other estimator  $\delta$ .

Def (Bayes risk of a Prior).  $r(\pi) = \inf_{\delta}(r(\pi, \delta))$ .

**Remark.** The joint distribution of  $(X, \theta)$  is  $p_{\theta}(x)\pi(\theta)$ . The marginal distribution of X is  $m(x) = \int_{\Theta} p_{\theta}(x)\pi(d\theta)$ . The posterior distr. is  $\pi(\theta|x) = p_{\theta}(x)\pi(\theta)/m(x) \propto p_{\theta}(x)\pi(\theta)$ .

Thm (Bayes estimator for sq. err. loss).  $L(g(\theta), \delta(X)) = (g(\theta) - \delta(X))^2$ , and  $\mathbb{E}[g(\theta)^2] < \infty$ ,

- (i)  $\delta_0 = \mathbb{E}[g(\theta)|X]$  is a Bayes estimator with Bayes risk  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(g(\theta)|X)]$ .
- (ii) If  $\delta(X)$  is any other Bayes estimator, then  $\delta_0(X) = \delta(X)$  a.s. under the joint distr. of  $(X, \theta)$ .

**Remark.** (ii) also implies  $\delta_0(X) = \delta(X)$  a.s. under the marginal of X. If the marginal dominates the conditional, this will further imply that  $\delta_0(X) = \delta(X)$  a.s.  $P_{\theta}, \forall \theta \in \Theta$ , i.e. we have uniqueness under the conditionals.

Lemma (Bias of Bayes estimator). Under squared error loss, a Bayes estimator cannot be unbiased, unless  $\delta(X) = g(\theta)$  a.s.

**Def (Conjugate Prior).** A non-trivial class of probability distributions F is called a conjugate family of priors for a model  $\{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$  if the posterior distribution  $\pi(\theta|x)$  also belongs to F.

**Example.** For  $p_{\theta}(x) = \exp\{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_{i}(\theta)T_{i}(x) - B(\theta)\}h(x)$ , the conjugate family is  $\pi(\theta) = \exp\{\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}\eta_{i}(\theta) - s_{0}B(\theta)\}\psi(s_{0},...,s_{k})$ 

**Def (least favourable).** A prior  $\pi$  is least favourable if, for all other distributions  $\pi'$  on  $\Theta$ ,  $r(\pi) \geq r(\pi')$ . A sequence of priors  $\{\pi_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is least favourable if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} r(\pi_n) = \sup_{\pi} r(\pi)$ .

Thm (minimax from Bayes). Suppose  $\pi$  is a distribution on  $\Theta$  with Bayes estimator  $\delta_{\pi}$ , s.t.  $r(\pi) = r(\pi, \delta_{\pi}) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R(g(\theta), \delta_{\pi})$ . Then:

- (a)  $\delta_{\pi}$  is minimax
- (b) If  $\delta_{\pi}$  is the unique (w.r.t. the conditionals) Bayes estimate w.r.t.  $\pi$ , then  $\delta_{\pi}$  is unique minimax.
- (c)  $\pi$  is least favourable.

Corollary. A Bayes estimator with constant risk is minimax.

Thm (minimax from L.F.). Suppose  $\{\pi_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is a sequence of priors s.t.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} r(\pi_n) = \sup_{\theta\in\Theta} R(g(\theta), \delta_0)$  for some estimate  $\delta_0$ . Then:

- (a)  $\delta_0$  is minimax.
- (b)  $\{\pi_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is least favourable.

**Lemma (minimax on subset).** Suppose  $\delta(X)$  is minimax for  $g(\theta)$  on the parameter set  $\Theta_0 \subseteq \Theta$ . If  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} R(g(\theta), \delta) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R(g(\theta), \delta)$ , then  $\delta$  is minimax for  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

**Def (Admissible).** An estimator  $\delta$  is *inadmissible* if

 $\exists \delta'$  s.t.  $R(g(\theta), \delta') \leq R(g(\theta, \delta))$ , with strict inequality for some  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Otherwise,  $\delta$  is admissible.

**Remark.** If the loss is strictly convex, any estimator which is not a function of the M.S. statistic is inadmissible (Rao-Blackwell).

**Lemma.** If the loss is strictly convex,  $\delta$  is admissible and  $R(g(\theta), \delta) = R(g(\theta), \delta'), \forall \theta \in \Theta$ , then  $\delta = \delta'$  a.s.  $P_{\theta}, \forall \theta \in \Theta$ .

**Lemma.** Any unique (w.r.t. the conditionals) Bayes estimator is admissible.

**Lemma.** An admissible estimator with constant risk is minimax. If the loss function is strictly convex, it is also *unique* minimax.

**Lemma.** If  $\delta$  is unique minimax, then  $\delta$  is admissible.

Thm (Karlin). Suppose  $\{P_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$  is a one-parameter exponential family  $p_{\theta}(x) = e^{\theta T(x) - B(\theta)} h(x)$ , for  $\theta \in (a, b)$  (possibly unbounded). Let  $\delta_{\lambda, \nu}(X) = \frac{1}{1+\lambda}T(X) + \frac{\nu\lambda}{1+\lambda}, \lambda \geq 0, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\exists \theta_0 \in \Theta$  s.t.  $\int_a^{\theta_0} e^{-\nu\lambda\theta + \lambda B(\theta)} d\theta = \int_{\theta_0}^b e^{-\nu\lambda\theta + \lambda B(\theta)} d\theta = \infty$ , then  $\delta(X)$  is admissible for estimating  $g(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}T(X)$ , w.r.t squared error loss.

Corollary If  $(a,b)=(-\infty,\infty)$  , then T is admissible for  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}T.$ 

**Def (improper prior).** A measure  $\pi$  on the parameter space  $\Theta$  s.t.  $\pi(\Theta) = \infty$ .

If  $m(x) := \int_{\Theta} p_{\theta}(x) \pi(d\theta) < \infty, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ , we can define a probability measure  $\pi(\cdot|x)$  on  $\Theta$  by  $\pi(A|x) = \int_{A} p_{\theta}(x) \pi(d\theta) / m(x)$ .

**Def (generalized Bayes estimate).** A minimizer of  $\int_{\Theta \times \mathcal{X}} L(g(\theta), \delta(x)) p_{\theta}(x) \pi(d\theta) d\mu$ , where  $\pi$  is an improper prior.

Thm (generalized Bayes estimate). If  $m(x) < \infty, \forall x$ , a generalized Bayes estimate, w.r.t squared error, is the posterior mean  $\int_{\Theta} g(\theta) \pi(\mathrm{d}\theta|x)$ , provided  $\int_{\Theta} g(\theta)^2 \pi(\mathrm{d}\theta) < \infty$ .

Remark (Jeffrey's Prior). One common "vague"/improper prior is  $\pi(\theta) \propto \sqrt{I(\theta)}$ . In the multiparameter case,  $\pi(\theta) \propto \sqrt{\det(I(\theta))}$ 

**Def (hierarchical Bayes).** The prior distribution on the parameter  $\theta$  has a hyper-parameter,  $\lambda$ , which itself has a hyper-prior. We have,  $X|\theta \sim p_{\theta}(x)$ ,  $\theta|\lambda \sim \pi_{\lambda}(\theta)$ ,  $\lambda \sim \psi(\lambda)$ .

**Thm.** Writing  $\pi(\theta) = \int \pi_{\lambda}(\theta) \psi(\lambda) d\lambda$ , we have that  $D(\pi(\theta|x)||\pi(\theta)) \ge D(\psi(\lambda|x)||\psi(\lambda)).$  (HW5 q5)

Def (K-L divergence).

 $D(P||Q) = \int p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx.$ 

**Remark.** It always exists and is  $\geq 0$  (maybe = infinity), with equality iff p = q.

Def (empirical Bayes estimate). Assume the hyperparameter  $\lambda$  is now fixed. An estimator derived from the posterior  $\theta | x$  (e.g. the posterior mean) now also depends on  $\lambda$ . Substituting  $\lambda$  with a non-trivial estimator of  $\lambda$ derived from the marginal of X yields an *empirical Bayes* estimate for  $\theta$ .

James Stein Estimator. Let  $g(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(n-2)\sigma^2}{||\mathbf{x}||_2^2}\mathbf{x}$ . Then  $\delta_{JS} = \mathbf{x} - g(\mathbf{x})$  and has a uniformly better risk than the UMVUE estimator ( $\delta = \mathbf{x}$ ) for  $n \geq 3$ . (HW5 Q2)

#### ASYMPTOTIC OPTIMALITY

**Setup.** Consider a candidate estimator  $\delta_n(X_1,...,X_n)$ for estimating  $q(\theta)$ .

**Def** (Consistency).  $\delta_n(X)$  is consistent for  $g(\theta)$  if  $\delta_n(X) \xrightarrow{p} g(\theta)$ , under  $P_\theta \ \forall \theta \in \Theta$ .

**Def (Likelihood).**  $L(\theta|\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta}(X_i)$ . If  $\eta = g(\theta)$ , the likelihood of  $\eta$  is  $\tilde{L}(\eta|\mathbf{X}) = \sup_{\theta: q(\theta) = \eta} L(\theta|\mathbf{X})$ .

**Def (MLE).** If there exists a unique  $\hat{\theta}_n$  which is a global maximizer of  $\theta \mapsto L(\theta|\mathbf{X})$ , then  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is the MLE.

Def (Asymptotic efficiency). for a sequence of estimators  $\tilde{\theta}_n$ :  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, I(\theta_0)^{-1})$ 

**Def (Tightness).** A sequence of RVs  $\{Y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is tight if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists K_{\epsilon} < \infty$  s.t.  $\sup_{m > 1} P(|Y_n| > K_{\epsilon}) \le \epsilon$ .

**Thm.** If  $Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$ , then  $\{Y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is tight.

**Def** ( $\sqrt{n}$ -consistent). An estimator  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  is  $\sqrt{n}$ -consistent for  $\theta$  if  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)$  is tight under  $P_{\theta_0}, \forall \theta_0 \in \Theta$ .

**Thm.** If  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  is  $\sqrt{n}$ -consistent for  $\theta$ , then  $\tilde{\theta}_n \stackrel{p}{\to} \theta$ .

Asymptotic Risk Thm (MLE)  $X_1,...,X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P_{\theta}, \theta \in$  $\Theta$ , with pdf  $p_{\theta}(\cdot)$ . Consider the hypotheses:

- (A0) Identifiability:  $P_{\theta_1} \neq P_{\theta_2}$  whenever  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ .
- (A1)  $\{p_{\theta}(\cdot), \theta \in \Theta\}$  have common support.
- (A2)  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $\theta_0$  is an interior point of  $\Theta$ .

(A3) The function  $\theta \mapsto p_{\theta}(x)$  is 3 times differen-

tiable and  $\sup_{\theta \in [\theta_0 - \delta, \theta_0 + \delta]} |\partial_{\theta}^3 \log p_{\theta}(x)| \leq M(x)$ , with  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[M(X_1)] < \infty$ , for some  $\delta > 0$ .

(A4)  $\theta \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_{\theta}(x) d\mu(x)$  can be differentiated twice through the integral. Further,  $0 < I(\theta_0) < \infty$ .  $(A2^*)$   $\Theta$  is an open interval.

(A3\*) The map  $\theta \mapsto p_{\theta}(x)$  is  $\mathcal{C}^2$  $\sup_{\theta \in [\theta_0 - \delta, \theta_0 + \delta]} |\partial_{\theta}^2 \log p_{\theta}(x)| \leq M(x)$ , with  $\mathbb{E}[M(X_1)] < 0$  $\infty$ , for some  $\delta > 0$ .

- Under A0 and A1,  $P_{\theta_0}(l_n(\theta_0|\mathbf{X}) > l_n(\theta|\mathbf{X})) \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty, \forall \theta \neq \theta_0.$
- Under A0 and A1, if  $\Theta$  is finite, the MLE  $\hat{\theta}_n$  exists with high probability (i.e. the probability that the likelihood function has a unique maximizer goes to 1), and  $P_{\theta_0}(\hat{\theta}_n = \theta_0) \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$
- Under A0-2, if  $\theta \mapsto p_{\theta}(x)$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  (differentiable with continuous derivative), there exists a sequence of roots  $\theta_n$ of the likelihood equation  $l'_n(\theta) = 0$  which is consistent for  $\theta_0$  (though  $\hat{\theta}_n$  depends on  $\theta_0$  so is not an estimator).
- Under A0-2, if  $\theta \mapsto p_{\theta}(x)$  is differentiable and the likelihood equation  $l'_n(\theta) = 0$  has a unique root  $\hat{\theta}_n$ , then  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{p} \theta_0$  under  $P_{\theta_0}$ , and  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is the MLE w.h.p.
- (Asymptotic normality of MLE). Under A0-4, for any consistent sequence of roots  $\hat{\theta}_n$  of  $l'_n(\theta) = 0$ , we have  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, I(\theta_0)^{-1}).$
- Under A0, A1, A4, A2\* and A3\*, if  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$  $N(0, V(\theta_0))$ , then the set  $\{\theta : V(\theta) < I(\theta_0)^{-1}\}$  has Lebesgue measure 0.
- Under A0-4, if  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  is  $\sqrt{n}$ -consistent for  $\theta$ , then  $\delta_n := \tilde{\theta}_n - l'_n(\tilde{\theta}_n)/l''_n(\tilde{\theta}_n)$  is asymptotically efficient.

Remark.  $\frac{l'_n(\theta_0)}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, I(\theta_0), \frac{l''_n(\theta_0)}{n} \xrightarrow{p} -I(\theta_0),$  $\left|\frac{l_n'''(\xi_n)}{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum M(X_i) \xrightarrow{p} EM(X_1) < \infty$  where  $\xi_n \in (\theta_0, \hat{\theta}_n).$ 

**Propn.** If the MLE is consistent and conditions A0 through A4 hold, then the MLE is asymptotically efficient (HW6 Q6).

**Example (Exp. Fam.).** Let  $p_{\theta}(x) = e^{\theta T(x) - B(\theta)} h(x)$ .  $\theta \in \Theta$ , an open interval. Let  $l_n(\theta) = \log \prod p_{\theta}(x_i)$ . Then  $l_n''(\theta) = -nB''(\theta) = -n\operatorname{Var}(T(X)) < 0$ , so  $\theta \to l_n(\theta)$  is strictly concave so  $l'_n(\theta) = 0$  can have at most 1 root.

Thm (Slutsky). Suppose  $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} X$ ,  $A_n \xrightarrow{p} a$ ,  $B_n \xrightarrow{p} b$ . Then  $A_n X_n + B_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} aX + b$ .

Thm (Invariance of MLE). (a) If  $\hat{\theta}$  is a global maximizer of  $\theta \mapsto L(\theta|\mathbf{X})$ , then  $\hat{\eta} = q(\hat{\theta})$  is a global maximizer

of  $\eta \mapsto \tilde{L}(\eta | \mathbf{X})$ .

(b) If  $\hat{\theta}$  is the MLE and  $\forall \eta$ ,  $|\{\theta: g(\theta) = \eta\}| < \infty$ , then  $\hat{\eta}$ is the MLE for n.

Thm ( $\Delta$ -Method). If  $\sqrt{n}(X_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \sigma^2)$ , and  $g \in \mathcal{C}^1$  s.t.  $g'(\mu) \neq 0$ , then  $\sqrt{n}(g(X_n) - g(\mu)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$  $N(0, \sigma^2 g'(\mu)^2).$ 

Remark. Multivariate result holds  $\sqrt{n}(g(X_n) - g(\mu)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$  $N(0, \xi^T \Sigma \xi)$  where  $\xi_i = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}|_{x=\mu}$ 

Thm (Modified  $\Delta$ -Method). If  $\sqrt{n}(X_n - \mu) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\longrightarrow}$  $N(0,\sigma^2)$ , and  $g \in \mathcal{C}^2$  s.t.  $g'(\mu) = 0$ , then  $n(g(X_n)$  $g(\mu)$ )  $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\sigma^2}{2} g''(\mu) \chi_1^2$ .

Thm (Uniform integrability). If  $X_n \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\to} X$  and  $\sup_{n\geq 1} \mathbb{E}[|X_n|^{1+\delta}] < \infty \text{ for some } \delta > 0, \text{ then } \mathbb{E}X_n \to \mathbb{E}X.$ 

Thm (Multivariate CLT for MLE). Under A0, A1, and:

(A2)  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  is an interior point.

(A3) The function  $\theta \mapsto p_{\theta}(x)$  is 3 times partially differentiable and  $\sup_{|\theta-\theta_0|_{2}<\delta} \left| \frac{\partial^3 \log p_{\theta}(x)}{\partial_{\theta_i}\partial_{\theta_i}\partial_{\theta_k}} \right| \leq M_{ijk}(x)$ , where  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} M_{ijk}(\mathbf{X}) < \infty, \forall i, j, k.$ 

(A4)  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \partial_{\theta_i} \log p_{\theta}(X) = 0$  and

 $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \frac{\partial \log p_{\theta}(X)}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{\partial \log p_{\theta}(X)}{\partial \theta_j} \right] = -\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log p_{\theta}(X)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \right] = I_{ij}(\theta_0),$ with the matrix  $I(\theta_0)$  finite and +ve definite.

- Then there exists a consistent sequence of roots of the likelihood equation  $\frac{\partial \log p_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta_i} = 0, 1 \leq i \leq p.$
- Further, this sequence is asymptotically efficient, i.e.  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(\mathbf{0}, I(\theta_0)^{-1}).$

#### HYPOTHESIS TESTING

**Setup.** Let  $\{P_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$  be a collection of probability measures on  $\mathcal{X}$  dominated by a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$ . Let  $p_{\theta}(\cdot) = \frac{dP_{\theta}}{du}$ . Let  $\Theta_0$  and  $\Theta_1$  be disjoint subsets of  $\Theta$ . Given  $X \sim P_{\theta}$  for some  $\theta \in \Theta$ , we want to test whether  $\theta \in \Theta_0$  or  $\theta \in \Theta_1$ .

**Def (Test function).** A function  $\phi: \mathcal{X} \to \{0,1\}$  is called a non-randomized test function.

**Def.** Types of errors of a test. If  $\theta \in \Theta_0$ , then  $\phi = 1$  is Type I error. If  $\theta \in \Theta_2$ , then  $\phi = 0$  is Type II error.

**Def (Power).** The power of a test  $\phi$  is 1 - Probability of type II error;  $\beta(\theta) = P_{\theta}(\phi = 1)$  for  $\theta \in \Theta_1$ , a function of **Def (Size).** The size of a test  $\phi$  is  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} P_{\theta}(\phi = 1)$ . Let  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ . A test  $\phi$  is called level  $\alpha$  if  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} P_{\theta}(\phi = 1) \leq \alpha$ .

**Def (UMP).** A test  $\phi$  is called uniformly most powerful level  $\alpha$  if, given any other level  $\alpha$  test  $\psi$ , we have  $P_{\theta}(\phi = 1) \geq P_{\theta}(\phi = 1) \ \forall \theta \in \Theta_1$ .

**Def.** A function  $\phi: \mathcal{X} \to [0, 1]$  is called a randomized test function. If  $\phi = p$ , toss a coin w prob heads p. If heads choose  $\Theta_1$ , else  $\Theta_0$ . In all previous definitions, replace  $P_{\theta}(\phi = 1)$  by  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\phi]$ , and  $P_{\theta}(\phi = 0)$  by  $1 - \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\phi]$ .

Thm (NP lemma). Suppose we want to test  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$  at level  $\alpha$ .

- (i) There exists a test  $\phi$  satisfying
- . (a)  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[\phi] = \alpha$
- . (b) There exists  $k \in [0, \infty]$  such that

$$\phi(X) = 1 \text{ if } p_{\theta_1}(X) > kp_{\theta_0}(X)$$
$$= 0 \text{ if } p_{\theta_1}(X) < kp_{\theta_0}(X)$$

- (ii) If a test  $\phi$  satisfies (a) and (b), then  $\phi$  is a Most Powerful test for testing  $\theta = \theta_0$  vs  $\theta = \theta_1$ .
- (iii) If  $\phi$  is Most Powerful level  $\alpha$ , it must satisfy (b) for some k. It also satisfies (a), unless  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}[\phi] = 1$ , in which case  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[\phi] \leq \alpha$ .

**Remark.** If the boundary  $\{X : p_{\theta_1}(X) = kp_{\theta_0}(X)\}$  has measure 0, then the MP test is unique.

**Corollary.** Let  $\beta = \beta(\theta_1)$  denote the power of the MP test for testing  $\theta = \theta_0$  vs  $\theta = \theta_1$  at level  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ . Then  $\beta \geq \alpha$ . Further,  $\beta > \alpha$  unless  $p_{\theta_1} = p_{\theta_0}$ .

**Def (MLR).** Suppose  $\Theta$  is an interval (Keener only requires that  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ). We say that  $\{p_{\theta}(\cdot), \theta \in \Theta\}$  have the Monotone Likelihood Ratio property in a statistic T(X), if  $\forall \theta_1 < \theta_2 \in \Theta$ ,  $p_{\theta_2}(x)/p_{\theta_1}(x)$  is a non-decreasing function of T(X).

Keener: Natural conventions concerning division by zero are used here, with the likelihood ratio interpreted as  $\infty$  when  $p_{\theta_2} > 0$  and  $p_{\theta_1} = 0$ . On the null set where both densities are zero the likelihood ratio is not defined and monotonic dependence on T is not required.

**Thm.** Let  $\{p_{\theta}(\cdot), \theta \in \Theta\}$  be MLR in T(X),  $\Theta$  an interval, and  $p_{\theta_1} \neq p_{\theta_2}$  if  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ .

(i) For testing  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$  at level  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , there exists a UMP test  $\phi$  of the form

$$\phi(X) = 1 \text{ if } T(X) > c$$

$$= \nu \text{ if } T(X) = c$$

$$= 0 \text{ if } T(X) < c,$$

and  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}\phi(X) = \alpha$ .

- (ii) The power function  $\beta(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \phi$  is strictly increasing on the set  $\{\theta : 0 < \beta(\theta) < 1\}$ .
- (iii) For all  $\theta' \in \Theta$ , the test of part (i) is UMP for testing  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta'$  vs  $H_1: \theta > \theta'$  at level  $\alpha' = \beta(\theta')$ .
- (iv) For any  $\theta < \theta_0$ ,  $\phi$  minimises  $\beta(\theta)$  among all tests satisfying  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \psi(X) = \alpha$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $\{p_{\theta}(.), \theta \in \Theta\}$  be MLR in T(X), and  $\Theta$  an interval.

- (i) If  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is non-decreasing, then so is  $\theta \mapsto E_{\theta} \psi(T)$ .
- (ii) If  $\psi$  has a simple change of sign, i.e.  $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t

$$T(x) < x_0 \implies \psi(T(x)) \le 0$$

 $T(x) > x_0 \implies \psi(T(x)) \ge 0$ 

Then one of three things happen:

- a.  $E_{\theta}\psi(T) \geq 0, \forall \theta \in \Theta$
- b.  $E_{\theta}\psi(T) \leq 0, \forall \theta \in \Theta$
- c.  $\exists \theta_0 \text{ s.t. } E_{\theta} \psi(T) \leq 0, \forall \theta < \theta_0, E_{\theta} \psi(T) \geq 0, \forall \theta > \theta_0.$
- (iii) Suppose  $p_{\theta}(x) > 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \theta \in \Theta$  and the function  $p_{\theta'}(x)/p_{\theta}(x)$  is strictly increasing in T(x) for  $\theta' > \theta$ .

Let  $\psi$  be as in (ii) and further assume  $P_{\theta}(\psi(T) \neq 0) > 0$ . If  $E_{\theta_0}\psi(T) = 0$ , then  $E_{\theta}\psi(T) > 0$  for  $\theta > \theta_0$ ,  $E_{\theta}\psi(T) < 0$  for  $\theta < \theta_0$ .

**Lemma.** Assume  $p_{\theta}(x) > 0, \forall \theta \in \Theta, x \in \mathcal{X}, \Theta$  an interval, and  $p_{\theta'}(x)/p_{\theta}(x)$  is strictly increasing in  $T(X), \forall \theta < \theta'$ . Then there is a unique test function  $\phi$ , which is a function of T, of the form:

$$\phi(X) = 1 \text{ if } T(X) \in (c_1, c_2)$$
  
=  $\nu_i \text{ if } T(X) = c_i$   
= 0 if  $T(X) \notin [c_1, c_2]$ 

such that  $E_{\theta_1}\phi = \alpha_1$  and  $E_{\theta_2}\phi = \alpha_2$ , for some  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ ,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 1)$ .

That is to say, if  $\phi^*(X)$  is such that

$$\phi^*(X) = 1 \text{ if } T(X) \in (c_1^*, c_2^*)$$

$$= \nu_i^* \text{ if } T(X) = c_i^*$$

$$= 0 \text{ if } T(X) \notin [c_1^*, c_2^*]$$
and  $E_{\theta_1} \phi^* = \alpha_1$  and  $E_{\theta_2} \phi^* = \alpha_2$ , then  $\phi = \phi^*$  a.s.

Thm (Generalized NP). Let  $f_1, ..., f_{m+1}$  be real-valued integrable functions w.r.t  $\mu$ . Let  $(c_1, ..., c_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and set  $\mathcal{C}_0 = \{\phi : \int \phi f_i d\mu = c_i, 1 \leq i \leq m, \phi \text{ is a test fn} \}$  and assume  $\mathcal{C}_0$  is not empty.

- (i) Among all  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , there exists a test  $\phi_0$  which maximizes  $\int \phi f_{m+1} d\mu$ .
- (ii) A sufficient condition for  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$  to maximize  $\int \phi f_{m+1} d\mu$  is that  $\exists (K_1, ..., K_m)$  s.t.

$$\phi_0 = 1 \text{ if } f_{m+1} > K_1 f_1 + \dots + K_m f_m \ (*)$$

 $\phi_0 = 0 \text{ if } f_{m+1} < K_1 f_1 + ... + K_m f_m \ (*)$ (iii) If  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$  satisfies (\*) for some  $K_1, ..., K_m \ge 0$ , then

 $\phi_0$  maximizes  $\int \phi f_{m+1} d\mu$  among all tests  $\phi$  satisfying  $\int \phi f_i d\mu \leq c_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

(iv) The set  $M = \{(\int \phi f_1 d\mu, ..., \int \phi f_m d\mu), \phi \text{ is a test fn}\}$ , a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , is closed and convex. If  $(c_1, ..., c_m)$  is an interior point of M, then  $\exists K_1, ..., K_m$  and  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$  such that (\*) holds, and a necessary condition for  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$  to maximize  $\int \phi f_{m+1} d\mu$  is that (\*) holds a.s. (for some  $K_1, \dots, K_m$ ).

**Propn.** If  $\phi$  is MP, and T is sufficient, then  $\psi := \mathbb{E}[\phi|T]$  is MP for the same test.

**Thm.** Suppose we want to test  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_1$  or  $\theta \geq \theta_1$  vs  $H_1: \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2$  at level  $\alpha$ , where  $X \sim p_{\theta}(x) = e^{\eta(\theta)T(x)-B(\theta)}h(x)$ , and  $\eta$  strictly increasing.

(i) There exists a UMP test  $\phi$  which satisfies:

$$\phi(X) = 1 \text{ if } c_1 < T < c_2$$
  
=  $\nu_i$  if  $T = c_i$   
= 0 otherwise.

and  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_1} \phi = \mathbb{E}_{\theta_2} \phi = \alpha$ .

(ii) Among all tests  $\psi$  satisfying  $E_{\theta_1}\psi=E_{\theta_2}\psi=\alpha,\ \phi$  minimizes type I error  $E_{\theta'}\psi$  for any  $\theta'\leq\theta_1$  or  $\theta'\geq\theta_2$ .

**Setup** (Least Favorable  $\pi$ ). Consider the problem of testing  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$ . Let  $\pi$  be a distribution on  $\Theta_0$  and let  $m(x) = \int_{\Theta_0} p_{\theta}(x) \pi(d\theta)$ . Consider the modified problem  $H'_0: X \sim m(\cdot)$  vs  $H_1: X \sim p_{\theta_1}(\cdot)$ . Let  $\phi_{\pi}$  be the NP test (MP) at level  $\alpha$  with power  $\beta_{\pi}$ .

**Theorem.** Assume  $\phi_{\pi}$  is level  $\alpha$  for the original problem. Then:

- (i)  $\phi_{\pi}$  is MP for the original problem.
- (ii) If  $\phi_{\pi}$  is unique MP for the modified problem, then  $\phi_{\pi}$  is unique MP for the original problem.
- (iii)  $\beta_{\pi} \leq \beta_{\pi'}, \forall \pi'$ . (i.e  $\pi$  is least favorable).

**Remark.** To find a UMP under a composite null, use a Least Favourable Prior (including point masses)! (unless we can apply our standard MLR/exp. fam. results).

**Def** (p-value). Suppose we want to test  $H_0$  vs  $H_1$  at level  $\alpha$ . Let  $\phi_{\alpha}$  be a non-randomized test function at level  $\alpha$ . Let  $S_{\alpha} = \{X : \phi_{\alpha}(X) = 1\}$  be the rejection region, and assume these are nested:  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 \implies S_{\alpha_1} \subseteq S_{\alpha_2}$ . The p-value is  $\hat{p}(X) = \inf\{u : X \in S_u\}$ .

Intuitively, given the *p*-value, you can construct a level  $\alpha$  test by rejecting  $H_0$  if  $\hat{p}(X) < \alpha$ , accepting otherwise.

**Lemma.** Suppose  $X \sim p_{\theta}$  for some  $\theta \in \Theta$ , and we want to test  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$  at level  $\alpha$ . Let  $\{\phi_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in (0,1)}$  be a collection of nested level  $\alpha$  tests.

(i) Then  $P_{\theta}(\hat{p}(X) \leq u) \leq u, \forall u \in (0,1), \theta \in \Theta_0$ 

(ii) If  $\exists \theta_0 \in \Theta_0$  such that  $P_{\theta_0}(X \in S_\alpha) = \alpha, \forall \alpha$  then  $P_{\theta_0}(\hat{p}(X) \leq u) = u.$ 

**Def** (Confidence Interval). Let  $X \sim P_{\theta}$  for some  $\theta \in \Theta$ . For every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , let  $\mathcal{S}(x)$  be a subset of  $\Theta$ . We say the collection of sets  $\{S(x), x \in \mathcal{X}\}$  is a  $(1-\alpha)$ confidence region if  $P_{\theta}(\theta \in \mathcal{S}(X)) \geq 1 - \alpha, \ \forall \theta \in \Theta.$ Assume  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . If  $S(x) = [l(x), \infty)$ , then we call it a lower confidence interval. If  $S(x) = (-\infty, u(x)]$ , an upper CI. If S(x) = [l(x), u(x)], a 2-sided CI.

**Remark.** Suppose for every  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ ,  $\phi_{\theta_0}$  is a nonrandomized level  $\alpha$  test for  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs  $H_1$ . Let  $S(x) = \{\theta : \phi_{\theta}(X) = 0\}$ . Then  $\{S(x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$  is a  $(1-\alpha)$  confidence region.

Remark (Asymptotic CI). In practice, suppose  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}-\theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,V^2(\theta))$  where V is continuous. Then, by Slutsky's (and cts. mapping thm),  $\sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta}{V(\hat{\theta})} \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, 1)$ , and therefore,  $(\hat{\theta} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2} V(\hat{\theta}), \hat{\theta} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2} V(\hat{\theta}))$  is a  $1 - \alpha$  C.I. for  $\theta$ .

Def (Unbiased Test). Suppose we want to test  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0 \text{ vs } H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1 \text{ at level } \alpha.$  We say a test  $\phi$  is level  $\alpha$  unbiased if

- (i)  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} E_{\theta} \phi \leq \alpha$
- (ii)  $\inf_{\theta \in \Theta_1} E_{\theta} \phi \geq \alpha$

**Def** (UMPU). We say  $\phi$  is Uniformly Most Powerful Unbiased at level  $\alpha$ , if  $\phi$  is unbiased at level  $\alpha$  and for any other unbiased test  $\psi$ ,  $E_{\theta}\phi \geq E_{\theta}\psi$ ,  $\forall \theta \in \Theta_1$ .

**Remark.** If  $\phi$  is UMP, it is also UMPU.

**Lemma (UMPU).** Suppose  $\{p_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$  is a collection of prob. measures, s.t.  $\theta \mapsto E_{\theta} \phi$  is continuous in  $\theta$  (metric on  $\Theta$  implicit). If  $\phi_0$  is a test such that:

(i)  $\phi_0$  is UMP among the class of tests satisfying  $E_{\theta}\phi = \alpha, \forall \theta \in \partial\Theta_0 \cap \partial\Theta_1$ .  $(\partial S = \text{boundary of } S)$ .

(ii)  $\phi_0$  is level  $\alpha$  for  $\theta \in \Theta_0$ .

Then  $\phi_0$  is UMPU for  $\theta \in \Theta_0$  vs  $\theta \in \Theta_1$  at level  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $X \sim p_{\theta}(x) = e^{\eta(\theta)T(x)-A(\theta)}h(x)$ ,  $\eta$ strictly increasing and continuous, and  $\Theta$  an open interval. For the test  $H_0: \theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$  vs  $H_1: \theta \notin [\theta_1, \theta_2]$ , there exists a UMPU level  $\alpha$  test  $\phi$  given by:

$$\phi = 1$$
 if  $T(X) \notin [c_1, c_2]$   
 $= \nu_i$  if  $T(X) = c_i$   
 $= 0$  otherwise.  
and  $E_{\theta_1} \phi = E_{\theta_2} \phi = \alpha$ .

**Theorem.**  $X \sim p_{\theta}(x) = e^{\eta(\theta)T(x)-A(\theta)}h(x), \Theta$  is an open interval,  $\eta \in \mathcal{C}^1$  and  $\eta'(\theta) > 0$ . We want to test  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \text{ vs } H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0 \text{ at level } \alpha.$  There exists a UMPU of the form:

$$\phi = 1 \text{ if } T(X) \notin [c_1, c_2] \\
= \nu_i \text{ if } T(X) = c_i \\
= 0 \text{ if } T(X) \in (c_1, c_2), \\
\text{where } E_{\theta_0} \phi = \alpha \text{ and } E_{\theta_0} \{ \phi(X) T(X) \} = \alpha E_{\theta_0} \{ T(X) \}.$$

Lemma. Let  $M = \{(E_{\theta_0}[\phi], E_{\theta_0}[\phi T]), \phi \text{ is a test fn}\} \subseteq$  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then for any  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ ,  $(\alpha, \alpha E_{\theta_0}T)$  is an interior point of M. (consider  $\phi = \alpha \pm \varepsilon I(T > E_{\theta_0}T)$ ) (hw3 q3)

Lemma. Suppose  $\phi$  is a test of the form

$$\phi = 1 \text{ if } T(x) > c$$

$$= \nu \text{ if } T(x) = c$$

$$= 0 \text{ if } T(x) < c$$

Then  $E_{\theta_0}\phi = \alpha$  and  $E_{\theta_0}\phi T = \alpha E_{\theta_0}T$  cannot hold simultaneously. (consider  $(\phi - \alpha)(T - c) \ge 0$ )

Lemma. There is at most one test of the form:

$$\phi = 1 \text{ if } T \notin [c_1, c_2]$$

$$= 0 \text{ if } T \in (c_1, c_2)$$

$$= \nu_i \text{ if } T = c_i$$
such that  $E_{\theta_0} \phi = \alpha$ ,  $E_{\theta_0} \phi T = \alpha E_{\theta_0} T$ . (HW3 Q4)

Theorem. Suppose  $X \sim$  $p_{\theta,n}(x)$  $_{
ho} \theta U(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{K} \eta_i T_i(x) - A(\theta, \eta) h(x)$  where  $(\theta, \eta) \in \Theta \times \Omega$  is open. Suppose we want to test  $H_0: \theta < \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ at level  $\alpha$ . In this case, there exists a UMPU of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= 1 \text{ if } U > K(\mathbf{T}) \\ &= \nu(\mathbf{T}) \text{ if } U = K(\mathbf{T}) \\ &= 0 \text{ if } U < K(\mathbf{T}) \\ \text{where } E_{\theta_0,\eta}(\phi(U,\mathbf{T})|\mathbf{T}) = \alpha \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** The conditional distribution of U given T = t is an exponential family of the form  $\tilde{p}(u|t) =$  $e^{\theta u - A_t(\theta)} h_t(u), \ \theta \in \Theta.$ 

Remark. Similarly, you can find UMPU in the exponential family  $p_{\theta,\eta}(x) = \exp\{\theta U(x) + \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i T_i(x) - \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i T_i(x)\}$  $A(\theta, \eta) h(x)$  for these problems:

(ii)  $H_0: \theta \notin (\theta_1, \theta_2) \text{ vs } H_1: \theta \in (\theta_1, \theta_2).$ (iii)  $H_0: \theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$  vs  $H_1: \theta \notin [\theta_1, \theta_2]$ . (take  $\mathcal{C} = \{ \psi : E_{\theta_1,\eta}(\psi|T) = \alpha \text{ a.s.}, E_{\theta_2,\eta}(\psi|T) = \alpha \text{ a.s.} \}$ )

(iv)  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \text{ vs } H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ 

(take  $\mathcal{C} = \{ \psi : E_{\theta_0,\eta}(\psi|T) = \alpha \text{ a.s.}, E_{\theta_0,\eta}(\psi U|T) = \alpha \}$  $\alpha E_{\theta_0}(\psi|T)$  a.s.})

**Def (LRT).** Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid from  $p_{\theta}(\cdot)$ , and you want to test  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$ . The LRT statistic is  $\Lambda(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} p_{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \bigcup_{\theta \in P} p_{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)}$ .

**Remark.** In many examples  $-2\log\Lambda(X_1,\cdots,X_n)$  has an asymptotic  $\chi^2$  distribution with  $\dim(\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1)$  –  $\dim(\Theta_0)$  degrees of freedom.

Thm (Wilks). Suppose A0-A4 hold, MLE is consistent,  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  open. Suppose we want to test  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ . Then  $-2\log \Lambda(X_1, \dots, X_n) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \chi_k^2$ 

Wald's Test.  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \text{ vs } H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ , A0-A4 and

MLE consistent. Thus,  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, I(\theta_0)^{-1})$  under  $H_0$ . Reject  $H_0$  if  $|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0| > \frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{nI(\theta_0)}}$ . For general k, reject if  $n(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T I(\theta_0)(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) > \chi_{k_{1-\alpha}}^2$ . Can replace  $I(\theta_0)$  by  $I(\hat{\theta}_n)$  and still have this asymptotic distn.

**Rao Score Test.** Let  $U_{\theta}(X_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p_{\theta}(X_i)$ . We know  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}U_{\theta_0}(X_i) = 0$ ,  $Var_{\theta_0}U_{\theta_0}(X_i) = I(\theta_0)$ , so  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum U_{\theta_0}(X_i) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,I(\theta_0))$ . So reject  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ if  $\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum U_{\theta_0}(X_i)\right| > \frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{I(\theta_0)}}$ .

### M-ESTIMATION

**Setup.**  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P$  on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$ . Family of *criterion* functions  $m_{\theta}(x), m_{\theta}: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}, \ \theta \in \Theta \text{ (e.g. } -L(\theta, X)).$ 

**Def (M-estimator).**  $\hat{\theta}_n = \arg\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum m_{\theta}(x_i)$ .

- e.g. mean minimizes  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i \theta)^2$  e.g. median minimizes  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_i \theta|$

**Def (Z-estimator).**  $\hat{\theta}_n$  such that  $\sum M_{\theta}(x_i) = 0$ . • e.g. MLE often solves  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\theta} \log p_{\theta}(X_i) = 0$ 

**Setup.**  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$  compact.  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  is the space of continuous functions  $K \to \mathbb{R}$ .  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  is a Banach space with norm  $||w||_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in K} |w(t)|$ , and it is separable (has a countable dense subset)  $W_1, W_2, \cdots$  are iid random functions on  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  (e.g.  $W_i(t) = m_t(X_i)$ ).

**Thm.** Suppose W is a random function in  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ , K compact. Let  $\mu(t) = \mathbb{E}W(t), t \in K$ . If  $\mathbb{E}||W||_{\infty} < \infty$ , then (i)  $\mu$  is continuous.

(ii) Define  $M_{\varepsilon}(t) := \sup_{s:||t-s||<\varepsilon} |W(s) - W(t)|$ . Then  $\sup_{t \in K} \mathbb{E} M_{\varepsilon}(t) \to 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \downarrow 0$ 

**Thm.**  $W_1, W_2, \cdots$  iid random functions in C(K), Kcompact. Let  $\mu(t) = \mathbb{E}W(t)$ ,  $\overline{W}_n(\cdot) = \frac{1}{n} \sum W_i(\cdot)$ . If  $\mathbb{E}||W||_{\infty} < \infty$ , then  $||\overline{W}_n - \mu||_{\infty} \stackrel{p}{\to} 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Thm.**  $\{G_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  random functions in  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ , K compact. Suppose  $||G_n - g||_{\infty} \stackrel{p}{\to} 0$ , g non-random in  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ . Then (i) If  $\{t_n\}_{n\geq 1}\subseteq K$  are random vectors s.t.  $t_n\stackrel{p}{\to} t^*(\in K)$ , then  $G_n(t_n) \stackrel{p}{\to} q(t^*)$ .

(ii) If g achieves its maximum at a unique  $t^*$  and if  $\{t_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  are random vectors maximizing  $G_n$ , i.e.  $G_n(t_n) = \sup_{t \in K} G_n(t)$ , then  $t_n \stackrel{p}{\to} t^*$ .

(iii) (from Keener, 9.4.3) If  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and q(t) = 0 has a unique solution  $t^*$ , and if  $t_n$  are RVs solving  $G_n(t_n) = 0$ , then  $t_n \stackrel{p}{\to} t^*$ .

**Remark** (MLE).  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid  $p_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta, \theta_0$  denotes the truth.  $\hat{\theta}_n = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} [l_n(\theta) - l_n(\theta_0)],$  $l_n(\theta) = \sum \log p_{\theta}(x_i)$ . Here  $\overline{W}_n = l_n(\theta) - l_n(\theta_0)$ , where  $W_i(\theta) = \log \frac{p_{\theta}(x_i)}{p_{\theta_0}(x_i)}$ ;  $\mathbb{E}W_i(\theta) = -I(\theta_0, \theta) =$  $-\int \log \frac{f_{\theta_0}(x)}{f_{\theta}(x)} f_{\theta_0}(x) d\mu(x)$ , (KL divergence). Have  $\theta_0 =$  $\arg\max_{\theta\in\Theta}\mathbb{E}W(\theta)$ , by lemma.

**Lemma.** If  $P_{\theta} \neq P_{\theta_0}$ , then  $I(\theta_0, \theta) > 0$  and  $I(\theta_0, \theta_0) = 0$ .

**Thm.**  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$  compact.  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}||W||_{\infty} < \infty$  where  $W(\theta) = \log \frac{p_{\theta}(X)}{p_{\theta_0}(X)}$ .  $p_{\theta}(\cdot)$  is a continuous function in  $\theta$ for almost all x.  $p_{\theta} \neq p_{\theta_0} \ \forall \theta \neq \theta_0$ . Then, under  $P_{\theta_0}$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_n \stackrel{p}{\to} \theta_0$ .

**Thm.** Let  $\Theta = \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $W(\theta) = \log \frac{p_{\theta}(X)}{p_{\theta_{\theta}}(X)}$ . Suppose

- (i)  $\theta \mapsto p_{\theta}(x)$  is cts.
- (ii)  $\theta \neq \theta_0 \implies p_{\theta} \neq p_{\theta_0}$
- (iii)  $\forall K \text{ compact}, K \subseteq \Theta, \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \sup_{\theta \in K} |W(\theta)| < \infty$
- (iv)  $\exists a > 0 \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \sup_{\|\theta\| > a} W(\theta) < \infty.$
- (v)  $p_{\theta}(x) \to 0$  as  $||\theta||_2 \to \infty$ .

Then  $\hat{\theta}_n \stackrel{p}{\to} \theta_0$  under  $P_{\theta_0}$ , where  $\hat{\theta}_n$  denotes the MLE, if it exists.

**Remark.** The weaker condition  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} W(\theta) < \infty$ is sufficient. Also,  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$  can be any open set.

**Remark.** Let  $\hat{\theta}_n$  be a global maximizer of  $\overline{W}_n(\theta)$ . Assume A0-A4, and  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is consistent. Then  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow}$  $N(0, I(\theta_0)^{-1})$  under  $P_{\theta_0}$ . (pf. check whp  $l'_n(\hat{\theta}) = 0$ )

**Thm.** Let  $W(\theta) = \log \frac{p_{\theta}(X)}{p_{\theta_0}(X)}$ . Suppose

- (i)  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} W(\theta) < \infty$
- (ii)  $\theta \mapsto p_{\theta}(x)$  is upper semi cts
- (iii)  $\theta \neq \theta_0 \implies P_{\theta} \neq P_{\theta_0}$
- (iv)  $\Theta = \bigcup_{l>1} K_l$ ,  $K_l$  compact, increasing, s.t.  $\lim_{l\to\infty} \sup_{\theta\in K^c} W(\theta) = -\infty \text{ a.s. (w.r.t. } p_{\theta}, \forall \theta).$

Then  $\hat{\theta}_n \stackrel{p}{\to} \theta_0$ . (HW3 Q1)

a)  $\exists l \text{ s.t. } \theta_0, \hat{\theta}_n \in K_l \text{ whp. b) } \text{Fix } \delta > 0. \ \forall \theta \in B_l := K_l \cap \{\theta \in \mathcal{B}_l := K_l \cap \{\theta \in \mathcal{$  $\Theta: d(\theta, \theta_0) \geq \delta$ ,  $\exists$  neighborhood  $V_{\theta}$  s.t.  $E_{\theta_0} \sup_{\theta \in V_{\theta}} W(\theta, X_1) < 0$  $E_{\theta_0}W(\theta_0, X_1)$  (by u.s.c.). c)  $B_l$  is compact + WLLN  $\Longrightarrow$  $\sup_{\theta \in B_1} \overline{W}_n(\theta, X) < W_n(\theta_0, X)$  whp

**Prop.** Let  $\Theta$  be an interval and  $Z_n(\theta)$  a random func s.t. (i)  $\theta \mapsto Z_n(\theta)$  is non-decreasing with  $Z_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = o_p(1)$ 

(ii)  $Z_n(\theta) \stackrel{p}{\to} Z(\theta), \forall \theta$ , where  $Z(\theta)$  is non-random.

(iii)  $\theta \mapsto Z(\theta)$  is strictly increasing with  $Z(\theta_0) = 0$ . Then  $\hat{\theta}_n \stackrel{p}{\to} \theta_0$ . (HW3 Q2).

#### CONTIGUITY AND LAN

Def (absolute continuity of measure). Let P and Q be two probability measures on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$ . We say P is absolutely continuous w.r.t Q (noted  $P \ll Q$ ) if  $Q(A) = 0 \implies P(A) = 0.$ 

By Radon-Nikodyn theorem,  $P \ll Q$  iff  $P(A) = \int_A h dQ$ for some non-negative measurable  $h:(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F})\to(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B}),$ i.e. dP/dQ = h.

**Prop.**  $P \ll Q$  iff  $Q(A_n) \to 0 \implies P(A_n) \to 0, \forall \{A_n\}.$ 

**Def** (contiguity). Let  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  be prob measures on  $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n)$ .  $P_n$  is contiguous to  $Q_n$  (noted  $P_n \triangleleft Q_n$ ) if  $Q_n(A_n) \to 0 \implies P_n(A_n) \to 0.$ 

**Prop.**  $P_n \triangleleft Q_n$  iff  $T_n \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} 0 \implies T_n \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} 0 \ \forall \ \text{RVs} \ T_n \ \text{on} \ \mathcal{X}_n$ 

Def (total variation distance).  $||P - Q||_{TV} =$  $\sup |P(A) - Q(A)|$ . If  $\mu$  is a dominating measure for P and Q, and  $p = dP/d\mu$ ,  $q = dQ/d\mu$ , then  $||P - Q||_{TV} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} |p(x) - q(x)| d\mu.$ 

Also  $||P - Q||_{TV} = |P(A) - Q(A)|$  where  $A = \{\frac{p}{a} \ge 1\}$ .

**Prop.** If  $||P_n - Q_n||_{TV} \to 0$  then  $P_n \triangleleft \triangleright Q_n$ . Note the converse is <u>not</u> true (e.g.  $P_n = N(0,1), Q_n = N(1,1)$ ).

Thm (Portmanteau). Let S be a metric space, with a Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra. Let  $P_n$ , P be prob measures on S. Then TFAE:

- (i)  $\lim \int g dP_n = \int g dP$ ,  $\forall g$  bounded continuous.
- (ii)  $\limsup \int g dP_n \leq \int g dP$ ,  $\forall g$  u.s.c. bounded above.
- (iii)  $\liminf \int g dP_n \geq \int g dP$ ,  $\forall g$  l.s.c. bounded below.
- (iv)  $P_n(A) \to P(A)$ ,  $\forall A \text{ s.t } P(\partial A) = 0$ .

**Remark.** Can change  $\int gdP_n$  to  $E_{P_n}g(X_n)$  and  $\int gdP$ to  $E_P g(X)$  in (i) - (iv).

Note  $E_{P_n}[g(X_n)] = \int g(X_n)dP_n = \int g(X_n(\omega))P_n(d\omega) =$  $\int g(x)P^{X_n}(dx) = E_{P^{X_n}}[g], \text{ where } P^{X_n}(A) = P_n(X \in A)$ is the distribution function of  $X_n$ .

Note also that  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\underset{P}{\longrightarrow}} X$  means  $E_{P_n}g(X_n) \to X_Pg(X)$  for all g bdd. cts., or equivalently that the distn. funcs  $P^{X_n}$ converge weakly to  $P^X$ .

**Remark.** If U is open,  $1_U$  is l.s.c, and if K is closed,  $1_K$ 

is u.s.c. Moreover, for (ii) and (iii), we can equivalently take g just of this form.

**Def.** f is l.s.c. at  $x_0$  if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ :  $||x - x'|| < \infty$  $\delta \implies f(x') \geq f(x_0) - \varepsilon$ , when  $f(x) < \infty$  (and  $f(x') \to \infty$  as  $x' \to x_0$  if  $f(x) = \infty$ ). Equivalently,  $\liminf_{x\to x_0} f(x) \geq f(x_0)$ . Change to  $f(x') \leq f(x_0) + \varepsilon$ 

**Lemma.** Let  $\mu$  be a dominating measure of P and Q, and  $p = dP/d\mu$ ,  $q = dQ/d\mu$ . Then TFAE:

- (i)  $P \ll Q$
- (ii) P(q=0)=0
- (iii)  $\int p/q dQ = 1$

**Def.** Let  $\mathrm{d}P/\mathrm{d}Q=p/q$  if q>0 and =0, otherwise. In general  $\int h dP \geq \int h \frac{dP}{dQ} dQ$ , with equality if  $P\ll Q$ .

Le Cam's first lemma. Let  $(P_n, Q_n)$  be prob measures on  $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n)$ . The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $P_n \triangleleft Q_n$
- (ii)  $\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} U$  along a subsequence, then  $\Pr(U=0) = 0$
- (iii) If  $\frac{dP_n}{dQ_n} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} V$  along a subsequence, then  $\mathbb{E}V = 1$ .

**Remark.** If  $\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} \stackrel{d}{\to} U$ , such that  $\Pr(U=0) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}U=1$ , then  $P_n \triangleleft \triangleright Q_n$ .

Cor. Suppose  $\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} \stackrel{d}{\underset{P}{\longrightarrow}} e^{N(\mu,\sigma^2)}$  such that  $\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} = 0$ . Then  $P_n \triangleleft \triangleright Q_n$ .

**Remark.** If  $\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} \stackrel{d}{\xrightarrow{P_n}} e^{N(\mu,\sigma^2)}$  and  $P_n \triangleleft Q_n$ , then  $\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} = 0.$ 

Le Cam's third lemma. Let  $P_n \triangleleft Q_n$ . Assume  $\left(X_n, \frac{\mathrm{d}P_n}{\mathrm{d}Q_n}\right) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} (X, R)$  with distribution  $F_{X,R}(x, r) =$ 

 $P(X \leq x, R \leq r)$ , then  $\left(X_n, \frac{dP_n}{dQ_n}\right)$  converges in distribution under  $P_n$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{P_n} f(X_n, dP_n/dQ_n) \to \mathbb{E}\{Rf(X, R)\},\$  $\forall f$  bounded cts.

Corollary. Assume  $\left(X_n, \log \frac{dP_n}{dQ_n}\right) \stackrel{d}{\underset{O_n}{\longrightarrow}} (X, Z) \sim$  $N\left(\begin{vmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{vmatrix}, \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 \end{vmatrix}\right)$  where  $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho$ , such that  $\mu_2 + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} = 0$ , then  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\underset{P_-}{\longrightarrow}} N(\mu_1 + \sigma_{12}, \sigma_1^2)$ .

**Remark.** The same holds with vector-valued R.V.  $\mathbf{X}_n$ . Note that in this case,  $\mu_1$  would be a vector,  $\sigma_1^2$  would be a matrix, and  $\sigma_{12}$  would ve a vector.

Under previous corollary, we also have jointly:

$$(X_n, \log \frac{dP_n}{dQ_n}) \xrightarrow{d} N \left( \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 + \sigma_{12} \\ \mu_2 + \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \text{ (hw4 q6)}$$

**Definition (LAN).** Let  $\Theta$  be open. For every  $\theta_0$ , let  $P_{\theta_0}^n$  be a prob measure on  $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n)$ . LAN holds at  $\theta_0$  if there exists a positive sequence  $\{\phi_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  converging to 0, s.t  $\forall h$  fixed,  $\log \frac{dP_{\theta_0+h\phi_n}^n}{dP_{\theta_0}^n} = h\Delta_n - \frac{h^2}{2}I(\theta_0) + \varepsilon_n(h)$ , for some  $I(\theta_0) > 0$ , s.t.

(i) 
$$\Delta_n \xrightarrow[P_{\theta_0}]{d} N(0, I(\theta_0))$$

(ii) 
$$\varepsilon_n \xrightarrow[P_{\theta_0}]{p} 0$$
.

Remark. LAN  $\implies P_{\theta_0 + \frac{h}{\sqrt{c}}}^n \triangleleft \triangleright P_{\theta_0}^n$ .

**Remark.** If in IID set-up  $A_0 - A_4$  hold, LAN holds with  $\phi_n = 1/\sqrt{n}$ .

**Thm.** Suppose LAN holds at  $\theta_0$ , for all  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ . If  $\frac{T_n-\theta_0}{\phi_n} \xrightarrow[P]{d} N(0,\sigma^2(\theta)), \forall \theta_0 \in \Theta \text{ then } \sigma^2(\theta_0) \geq 1/I(\theta_0) \text{ for }$ a.e.  $\theta_0$  (under Lebesgue measure).

Cor. If  $\sigma(\theta)$  and  $I(\theta)$  are both continuous, then  $\sigma^2(\theta_0) \geq$  $1/I(\theta_0), \forall \theta_0 \in \Theta.$ 

**Lemma.** Suppose LAN holds at  $\theta_0, \forall \theta_0 \in \Theta$ . Let  $T_n$  be s.t.  $\frac{T_n-\theta_0}{\phi_n} \stackrel{d}{\underset{P_n^n}{\longrightarrow}} N(0,\sigma^2(\theta_0))$ , and  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} P_{\theta_0+\phi_n}^n(T_n \le$  $\theta_0 + \phi_n \le 1/2.$ Then  $\sigma^2(\theta_0) \geq \frac{1}{I(\theta_0)}$ .

**Theorem.** Suppose LAN holds at  $\theta_0$ . Let  $T_n$  be a sequence of rv's, such that  $T_n \xrightarrow{d} G_h$  under  $P_{\theta_0 + h, \phi_n}^n$ ,  $\forall h$ fixed. Then  $G_h \stackrel{d}{=} F(Z,U)$  where  $Z \sim N(h,I(\theta_0)^{-1})$ .  $U \sim U(0,1)$ . Also Z and U are independent, and F is a non-random measurable function free of h.

**Theorem.** Suppose LAN holds at  $\theta_0$ . Let  $\psi_n$  be a sequence of asymptotically level  $\alpha$  tests for  $\theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $\theta > \theta_0$  i.e.  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \psi_n \leq \alpha$ . Then  $\forall h > 0$ ,  $\lim \sup \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0 + h\phi_n} \psi_n \le 1 - \Phi(z_{1-\alpha} - h/\sqrt{I(\theta_0)}).$ 

Pf: On subsequence,  $\limsup E_{\theta_0+hr_n}\phi_n = \lim E_{\theta_0+hr_n}, \phi_{n_k}$ . On further subsequence,  $(\phi_n, \frac{dP_{\theta_0+hr_n}}{dP_{\theta_0}}) \xrightarrow[P_{\theta_0}]{d} (V, R)$  by jt. tightness.

 $\therefore \phi_n \xrightarrow{d} V(h)$  (le Cam). By thm,  $V(h) = F(Z,U), Z \sim$  $N(h, H(\theta_0)^{-1}), U \sim U(0, 1).$  Also  $E_{\theta_0 + hr_n} \phi_n \to EF(U, V)$  (UI), so  $E_{h=0}F(Z,U) \leq \alpha$ . Now compare F(U,V) to MP test  $\square$ 

**Remark.** A test that achieves this bound is locally asymptotically optimal.

**Lemma.** (i) Given a real-valued r.v X, there is a nonrandom measurable function F such that  $X \stackrel{a}{=} F(U)$ .  $U \sim U(0, 1)$ .

(ii) Given real-valued r.v.s (X,Y), there is non-random measurable F s.t.  $(X,Y) \stackrel{d}{=} (X,F(X,U))$ , and  $X \perp U$ .

### **PROJECTIONS**

**Def** (Projection). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a prob space. Let  $\mathcal{L}^2$  be the vector space of all r.v.'s X in this space such that  $\mathbb{E}X^2 < \infty$ .  $\hat{X}$  is the projection of  $X \in \mathcal{L}^2$  onto the sub-vector space S if

(i)  $\hat{X} \in S$ 

(ii)  $\mathbb{E}(X - \hat{X})^2 \le \mathbb{E}(X - Y)^2, \forall Y \in S.$ 

**Prop.** (i)  $\hat{X} \in S$  is a projection iff  $\mathbb{E}(X - \hat{X})Y = 0$ ,  $\forall Y \in S.$ 

(ii) Projection, if it exists, is unique.

(iii) If  $1 \in S$ , then  $Var(\hat{T}) \leq Var(T)$  and  $\mathbb{E}(\hat{T}) = \mathbb{E}(T)$ 

**Def.** S is closed if  $\{Y_n\}_{n\geq 1}\in S$  and  $E(Y_n-Y)^2\to 0$ implies  $Y \in S$ .

**Prop.** If S is closed, then a projection exists.

**Remark.** Let S be the space of all X such that  $\mathbb{E}X^2 < \infty$ and X is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable, where  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $X = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$ .

**Lemma** (Hájek Projection). Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be independent, and let S be the set of all rv's of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j(X_j)$  where  $\mathbb{E}g_j(X_j)^2 < \infty$  (equivalently those of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$ , where  $EY_i^2 < \infty$ ,  $Y_i$  is  $X_i$ measurable).

If  $T \in \mathcal{L}^2$ , its projection is  $\hat{T} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(T|X_i) - (n-1)\mathbb{E}T$ .

**Remark.** In general  $E[T|X_i]$  will depend on j. However, if T is symmetric in  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , and  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ are independent, then  $\mathbb{E}[T|X_i]$  does not depend on j, i.e.  $\mathbb{E}[T|X_i] = g(X_i)$ , for some function g free of j.

**Thm.** Let  $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, P_n)$  be a prob space for each n, and let  $S_n$ , with  $1 \in S_n$ , be a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, P_n)$  for each n. Suppose  $T_n \in \mathcal{L}^2$  has a projection  $\hat{T}_n$ , such that

$$\frac{\operatorname{Var}(T_n)}{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{T}_n)} \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 1. \text{ Then } \frac{T_n - \mathbb{E}T_n}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(T_n)}} - \frac{\hat{T}_n - \mathbb{E}\hat{T}_n}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{T}_n)}} \overset{\mathcal{L}^2/p}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

**Setup (U-Stats).** Suppose  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  are iid cts rvs on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $h:\mathcal{X}^k\to\mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function. Want to estimate  $\theta := \mathbb{E}h(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ , and  $h(X_1, \dots, X_k)$  is  $\bullet$  If  $a_n \to a$ , then  $(1 + \frac{a_n}{a})^n \to e^a$ 

an unbiased estimator.

Define  $U := \mathbb{E}[h(X_1, \dots, X_k)|X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}].$  Then  $\mathbb{E}U = \theta$  and  $Var(U) \leq Var(h(X_1, \dots, X_k))$  as U is a projection (or by Rao-Blackwell).

WLOG assume h is symmetric in its arguments, so that  $U = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{l}} \sum_{1 \le l_1 < \dots < l_k \le n} h(X_{l_1}, \dots, X_{l_k}) =$  $\frac{1}{\binom{n}{n}} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [\binom{n}{n}]} h(X_{\mathbf{i}}).$ 

**Prop.** (i)  $\mathbb{E}U = \theta$ .

(ii)  $Var(U) = \sum_{c=1}^{k} {k \choose c} {n-k \choose k-c} \xi_c / {n \choose k}$ , where  $\xi_c = Cov(h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k}), h(X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_k}))$ , where  $|\{i_1,\cdots,i_k\}\cap\{j_1,\cdots,j_k\}|=c.$ 

**Remark.** If  $\xi_1 \neq 0$ ,  $Var(U) \sim \frac{k \binom{n-k}{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}} \xi_1 \sim \frac{k^2}{n} \xi_1$ , since  $\binom{n}{r} \sim \frac{n^r}{r!}$  for r fixed,  $n \to \infty$ .

**Thm.** If  $\mathbb{E}h^2(X_1,\dots,X_k)<\infty$ , then  $\sqrt{n}(U_n-\theta)\underset{n\to\infty}{\overset{d}{\longrightarrow}}$  $N(0, k^2 \xi_1)$ , provided  $\xi_1 \neq 0$ . Moreover:

- The Hájek projection of  $U_n \theta$  is  $\hat{U}_n = \frac{k}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i)$ , where  $q(x) = \mathbb{E}[h(x, X_2, \cdots, X_k) - \theta].$
- $Var(g(X_1)) = \xi_1$ .

Setup (2-sample U stats). Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_m \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F$ and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} G$ .

Let  $U_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\binom{m}{n}\binom{n}{n}} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [\binom{m}{r}], \mathbf{j} \in [\binom{n}{s}]} h(X_{\mathbf{i}}, Y_{\mathbf{j}})$ , where h:  $\mathcal{X}^r \times \mathcal{Y}^s \to \mathbb{R}$ . Also assume h is symmetric between X variables with Y fixed, and viceversa, i.e.  $h(X_{\pi(i)}, Y_{\pi(i)}) = h(X_i, Y_i)$ . We assume  $N = m + n \to \infty$ s.t.  $\frac{m}{N} \to \lambda$ ,  $\frac{n}{N} \to 1 - \lambda$ , for some  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ . Let  $\theta = \mathbb{E}h(X_{\mathbf{i}}, Y_{\mathbf{i}}).$ 

**Thm.** If  $\mathbb{E}h^2(X_i,Y_i)<\infty$ , then

 $\sqrt{N}(U_{m,n} - \theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, \frac{r^2}{\lambda} \xi_{1,0} + \frac{s^2}{1-\lambda} \xi_{0,1}), \text{ where } \xi_{1,0} =$  $Cov(h(X_{\mathbf{i}}, Y_{\mathbf{i}}), h(X_{\mathbf{i}'}, Y_{\mathbf{i}'})), \text{ where } |\hat{\mathbf{i}} \cap \hat{\mathbf{i}}'| = 1, |\mathbf{j} \cap \hat{\mathbf{j}}'| = 0.$ 

• The Hájek projection of  $U_{m,n} - \theta$  is

 $\hat{U}_{m,n} = \frac{r}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{1,0}(X_i) + \frac{s}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{0,1}(Y_j)$ , where  $g_{1,0}(x) = \mathbb{E}h(x, X_2, \cdots, X_r, Y_1, \cdots, Y_s) - \theta,$  $g_{0,1}(y) = \mathbb{E}h(X_1, \dots, X_r, y, Y_2, \dots, Y_s) - \theta.$ 

•  $Var(g_{1,0}(X_1)) = \xi_{1,0}, Var(g_{0,1}(Y_1)) = \xi_{0,1}$ 

# DISTRIBUTIONAL RESULTS

- $B(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}$
- $\Gamma(\alpha + 1) = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha)$
- $\Gamma(k) = (k-1)!$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .
- $\bullet \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$

- If  $X \ge 0$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^\infty P(X > x) dx$
- Suppose  $X_i \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ :
- $E(\sum X_i) = n\theta$
- $-E(\sum X_i^2) = n\sigma^2 + n\theta^2$
- $-E((\sum X_i)^2) = n^2\sigma^2 + n^2\theta^2$
- $-(n-1)S^{2} = \sum (X_{i} \overline{X})^{2} \sim \sigma^{2}\chi_{n-1}^{2}$
- $-\frac{\overline{X}-\mu}{\sqrt{S^2/n}}\sim t_{n-1}$
- $\dot{E}(\frac{1}{\sum X_i^2}) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2(n-2)}$
- MLE is  $(\overline{X}, \frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i \overline{X})^2)$
- Def (Sample variance).  $s^2 := \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i \overline{x})^2$
- $\sum (x_i \overline{x})^2 = \sum x_i^2 n\overline{x}^2$
- $\sum (X_i \mu)^2 = n(\overline{X} \mu)^2 + \sum (X_i \overline{X})^2$
- $\operatorname{Var}(\sum_{i} X_{i}) = \sum_{i} \operatorname{Var}(X_{i}) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \operatorname{Cov}(X_{i}, X_{j})$
- $\chi_k^2 = Gamma(\alpha = \frac{k}{2}, \beta = \frac{1}{2})$
- $Exp(\lambda) = Gamma(\alpha = 1, \beta = \lambda)$
- If  $U \sim U(0,1)$ , then  $-\log(U) = Exp(1)$
- If  $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} U(0,\theta)$ , then  $n(1-\frac{X_{(n)}}{\theta}) \stackrel{d}{\to} Exp(1)$ . In particular,  $X(n) \stackrel{p}{\to} \theta$ .
- If  $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Bin(1, \theta/n)$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} Poisson(\theta)$ .
- If  $X_n \sim Bin(n, p_n)$  and  $np_n \to \lambda$ , then  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} Pois(\lambda)$
- If  $X \sim P_0(\lambda)$  and  $Y \sim P_0(\mu)$  independently, then  $X + Y \sim P_0(\lambda + \mu)$
- If  $X \sim Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$  and  $Y \sim Gamma(\beta, \theta)$  independently, then  $X + Y \sim Gamma(\alpha + \beta, \theta)$ , and  $\frac{X}{X+Y} \sim Beta(\alpha, \beta)$ .
- If  $X \sim Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ , then  $\sigma X \sim Gamma(\alpha, \beta/\sigma)$ .
- If  $X_1, X_2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, 1)$ , then  $X_1 | \{X_1 + X_2 = t\} \sim N(t/2, 1/2)$ .
- If  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, 1), T = \sum X_i$ , then  $(X_1, \dots, X_n | T = t) \sim N(\begin{pmatrix} t/n \\ \dots \\ t/n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \frac{1}{n} & -\frac{1}{n} & \dots \\ -\frac{1}{n} & 1 \frac{1}{n} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix})$
- If  $X \sim Pois(\lambda)$ ,  $Y \sim Pois(\mu)$  independently, then  $X|\{X+Y=t\} \sim Bin(t, \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu})$ .
- MVN (Multi-variate normal). If  $\mathbf{X} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ , then  $f(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi |\det \Sigma|)^{-n/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} \mu))$ .

- $\mathbb{E} e^{\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{X}} = e^{\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{t}} \mu + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{v}}.$
- In particular, in the bivariate case with correlation  $\rho$ ,  $f(x,y)=\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_X\sigma_Y\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}\times$

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\left[\left(\frac{x-\mu_x}{\sigma_x}\right)^2 - 2\rho\left(\frac{x-\mu_x}{\sigma_X}\right)\left(\frac{y-\mu_U}{\sigma_Y}\right) + \left(\frac{y-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right)^2\right]\right)$$

- In the standardized case with correlation  $\rho$ , (i.e.  $X, Y \sim N(0,1)$ ,  $EXY = \rho$ ), we have  $Y = \rho X + \sqrt{1 \rho^2} Z$ , where  $Z \perp X$ .
- If  $U \sim N(0,1)$  and  $V \sim \chi_p^2$  independently, then  $\frac{U}{\sqrt{V/p}} \sim t_p$
- $\bullet$  If  $U\sim\chi_p^2$  and  $V\sim\chi_q^2$  independently, then  $\frac{U/p}{V/q}\sim F_{p,q}$

**Order Statistics.** If  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(x)$ , then

- $f_{X_{(j)}}(x) = \frac{n!}{(j-1)!(n-j)!} f(x) F(x)^{j-1} (1 F(x))^{n-j}$
- $F_{X_{(j)}}(x) = \sum_{k=j}^{n} {n \choose k} F(x)^k (1 F(x))^{n-k}$
- $f_{X_{(i)},X_{(j)}}(u,v) = \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(j-1-i)!(n-j)!} \times f(u)f(v) \times F(u)^{i-1}(F(v)-F(u))^{j-1-i}(1-F(v))^{n-j}$ , for u < v, i < j
- $f_{X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}}(\mathbf{x}) = n! f(x_1) \dots f(x_n)$ , for  $x_1 < \dots < x_n$
- If  $U_1, \dots, U_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} U[0,1]$ , then  $U_{(k)} \sim Beta(k, n-k+1)$
- The conditional distribution of  $X_{(i)}|X_{(j)} = t$  is that of the *i*th order statistic from j-1 samples of the original distribution truncated at t.
- $(X_1|X_{(n)}=t) \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{1}{n}\delta_t + \frac{n-1}{n}U(0,t)$  (HW2 Q4)
- Order statistics are independent of rank statistics

**Propn (Asymptotic distribution of ordered statistics).** If  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are i.i.d from continuous strictly positive density f, then, for  $p \in (0,1)$ ,

$$\sqrt{n}(X_{(\lceil np \rceil)} - F^{-1}(p)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N\left(0, \frac{p(1-p)}{f_X(F^{-1}(p))^2}\right)$$

- If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  have continuous cdf F, then  $F(X_1), \dots, F(X_n) \sim U[0,1]$ , and if  $U_1, \dots, U_n \sim U[0,1]$ , then  $F^{-1}(U_1), \dots, F^{-1}(U_n) \stackrel{d}{=} X_1, \dots, X_n$ .
- If  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, \sigma^2)$  and  $\theta \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$ , then  $-\theta | \mathbf{X} \sim N(\frac{\mu \sigma^2 + n \tau^2 \overline{X}}{\sigma^2 + n \tau^2}, \frac{\sigma^2 \tau^2}{\sigma^2 + n \tau^2})$   $-\mathbf{X} \sim N(\mu \mathbf{1}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n + \tau^2 \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T)$  (marginally) (HW3 q5)
- If  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim B(1,p)$  and  $p \sim B(\sqrt{n}/2, \sqrt{n}/2)$ , then  $\delta(X) = \frac{\sum X_i + \sqrt{n}/2}{n + \sqrt{n}}$  is the unique Bayes estimator. It has constant risk  $\frac{1}{4(1+\sqrt{n})^2}$ , so it's unique minimax and L.F.
- MLE for Normal, Poisson, and Bernoulli is  $\bar{X}$ . For uniform it is  $X_{(n)}$ .

- Cauchy Distribution verifies conditions A3 and A4.
- If X is negative binomial (r, p), and Y = 2pX, then  $Y \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_{2r}$  as  $p \to 0$ .
- If  $X \sim Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $Y \sim Poisson(x\beta)$ , then  $P(X \leq x) = P(Y \geq \alpha)$ .
- If  $X \sim Bin(m, p)$ ,  $Y \sim Bin(n, p)$  independently, then  $P(X = k | X + Y = t) = \frac{\binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{t-k}}{\binom{m+n}{t}}$  (HyperGeometric)

# **INEQUALITIES**

Triangle:  $|||x|| - ||y||| \le ||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ 

•  $||f||_p = \left(\int |f|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  or  $||X||_p = \left(E|X|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  are norms

**Holder's:** Suppose  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$  s.t.  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then  $||fg||_1 \le ||f||_q ||g||_p$ . In particular,

- $\int |f(x)g(x)|dx \le \left(\int |f(x)|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int |g(x)|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$
- $E|XY| \le (E|X|^p)^{1/p} (E|Y|^q)^{1/q}$

Cauchy-Schwarz. Setting p = q = 2 in Holder's,

- $E|XY| \le \sqrt{EX^2EY^2}$
- $Cov(X,Y)^2 \le Var(X)Var(Y)$ , with = iff Y = aX + b

Pinsker's:  $||P - Q||_{TV} \le \sqrt{2D_{KL}(P||Q)}$ .

Markov's:  $P(|X| \ge M) \le \frac{E|X|}{M}$ 

Jensen's: Under UNBIASEDNESS.

**Cosh.**  $\cosh(x) = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} \le e^{x^2/2}$ 

**Log.**  $\log(1+x) \le x - \frac{x^2}{2}$  if  $x \ge 0$  (Taylor expansion)

- $\bullet \log(1+x) \le x 2\frac{x^2}{2}$  if  $x \ge -0.5$
- $\log(1+x) \ge x \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{4}$  iff  $x \in [0, 0.45...]$  (\le elsewhere)
- $\log(1+x) \ge x \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{2}$  iff  $x \in [-0.43, 0]$  (\le elsewhere)

# MISCELLANEOUS

Sterling's Approx.  $n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$ .

### O notation.

- f(x) = o(g(x)) as  $x \to \infty$  iff  $\frac{|f(x)|}{g(x)} \to 0$  as  $x \to \infty$ .
- $X_n = o_n(a_n)$  if  $X_n/a_n \stackrel{p}{\to} 0$ .
- f(x) = O(g(x)) as  $x \to \infty$  iff  $\exists x_o, M$  such that |f(x)| < Mg(x) for all  $x > x_0$ .
- $X_n = O_p(a_n)$  if  $X_n/a_n$  is stochastically bounded, i.e.  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists M, N \ \text{s.t.} \ P(|X_n| \ge Ma_n) < \varepsilon \ \text{for all} \ n \ge N.$

# Thm (joint convergence).

• Suppose  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} Y$ . Then  $(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{p} (X, Y)$ .

- Suppose  $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} X$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$ , and  $X_n$  is independent of  $Y_n$  for all n. Then  $(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (X, Y)$ .
- $(X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{d}{\to} (X, Y)$  iff  $\forall k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}, k_1 X_n + k_2 Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} k_1 X + k_2 Y$ .  $\mathbf{X}_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathbf{X}$  iff  $\langle \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{X}_n \rangle \stackrel{d}{\to} \langle \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{X} \rangle, \forall \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Thm (Cts. Mapping).** If f is cts. and  $X_n \to X$ , then  $f(X_n) \to f(X)$  (holds for convergence a.s., in  $\mathbb P$  or in  $\mathcal D$ )

**Thm (Continuous Mapping).** Let g be a function, such that the set of discontinuity points has prob. measure 0. Then

•  $X_n \to X$  implies  $g(X_n) \to g(X)$  for convergence in distribution, prob. and a.s. respectively.

**Def** ( $L_p$  convergence).  $X_n \stackrel{L_p}{\to} X$  if  $E|X_n - X|^p \to 0$ 

- For  $s \ge r \ge 1$ ,  $X_n \stackrel{L_s}{\to} X \implies X_n \stackrel{L_{\tau}}{\to} X$  (Jensen's)
- For p > 1,  $X_n \stackrel{L_p}{\to} X \implies X \stackrel{p}{\to} X$
- If  $X_n$  is UI and  $X \stackrel{p}{\to} X$ , then  $X_n \stackrel{L_1}{\to} X$
- If  $X_n \stackrel{L_p}{\to} X$ , then  $EX_n^p \to EX^p$  (reverse  $\Delta$  inequality)

**Uniform Integrability.** A sequence  $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is UI if  $\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists M>0 \text{ s.t. } \sup_{n>1}\mathbb{E}|X_n|I_{(|X_n|>M)}<\varepsilon$ 

• If  $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} X$  and  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E}[|X_n|^{1+\delta}] < \infty$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then  $\mathbb{E}X_n \to \mathbb{E}X$ .

**Tightness.** We say  $\{V_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is tight if given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists K_{\varepsilon} < \infty$  such that  $P(V_n \in [-K_{\varepsilon}, K_{\varepsilon}]) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ ,  $\forall n \geq 1$ . Alternatively,  $\sup_{n\geq 1} P(|V_n| > M) \to 0$  as  $M \to \infty$ . Also written as  $V_n = O_p(1)$  or 'bounded in probability'.

- $\bullet$  Marginal tightness implies joint tightness. This in turn implies convergence in distribution along a subsequence.
- If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ , then  $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is tight.
- If  $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is UI, then  $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is tight.

**Def**  $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is bounded in  $L_p$  for  $p\geq 1$  if  $\sup_{n\geq 1}\mathbb{E}[|X_n|^p]<\infty$ .

- For  $p \ge 1$ , this implies tightness.
- For p > 1, this implies UI. (Counterexample for p = 1;  $X_n = nI(0, 1/n]$ ). Conversely, UI  $\implies$  bounded in  $L_1$  (but NOT bounded in  $L_p$  for p > 1).

**Prohorov's thm.** If  $V_n$  is tight, there exists a subsequence along which it converges in distribution.

**Lagrange Multipliers.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_k)^T$ ,  $h_i : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f, h \in \mathcal{C}^1$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = f(x) - \langle \lambda, h(x) \rangle$ . If  $\exists (x^*, \lambda^*)$  s.t.

- i)  $\mathcal{L}(x^*, \lambda^*) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda^*)$
- ii)  $h(x^*) = 0$

Then  $x^*$  maximizes f(x) subject to h(x) = 0. Therefore: 1. Maximize  $\mathcal{L}(x,\lambda)$  in x to find  $x^*(\lambda)$ . 2. Find  $\lambda^*$  s.t.  $x^*(\lambda^*)$  satisfies  $h(x^*) = 0$ .

**KKT.** Consider  $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)$  subject to h(x) = 0 and  $g(x) \leq 0$ ,  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_m)^T$   $g_i \leq 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \mu) = f(x) - \langle \mu, g(x) \rangle - \langle \lambda, h(x) \rangle$ . If  $x^*$  is a solution,  $\exists \lambda^*, \mu^*$  s.t.

Stationarity:  $\nabla \mathcal{L}(x^*, \lambda^*, \mu^*) = 0$ 

Primal feasibility:  $g_i(x^*) \leq 0, h_i(x^*) = 0$ 

Dual feasibility:  $\mu_i^* \geq 0$ 

Complementary slackness:  $\mu_i^* g_i(x^*) = 0$ 

KKT (sufficiency). Consider:

(\*)  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)$  s.t.  $g(x) \leq 0$  and h(x) = 0. Let  $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \mu) = f(x) + \langle \lambda, h(x) \rangle + \langle \mu, g(x) \rangle$ . Suppose  $\exists (x^*, \lambda^*, \mu^*)$  s.t.  $g(x^*) \leq 0$ ,  $h(x^*) = 0$ ,  $\mu^* \geq 0$ ,  $\langle \mu^*, g(x^*) \rangle = 0$  and  $\mathcal{L}(x^*, \lambda^*, \mu^*) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda^*, \mu^*)$ . Then  $x^*$  solves (\*). Therefore:

- 1. Minimize  $\mathcal{L}(x,\lambda,\mu)$  in x to find  $x^*(\lambda,\mu)$ .
- 2. Maximize  $\mathcal{L}(x^*(\lambda,\mu),\lambda,\mu)$  over  $\mu \geq 0$  to find  $\mu^*(\lambda)$ .
- 3. Find  $\lambda^*$  s.t.  $h(x^*(\lambda^*, \mu^*(\lambda^*))) = 0$ .
- 4. Check  $\langle \mu^*, g(x^*) \rangle = 0$  (automatic for 'nice' convex problems).

KKT (inequalities only). Consider:

(\*)  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)$  s.t.  $g(x) \le 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}(x,\mu) = f(x) + \langle \mu, q(x) \rangle$ .

Suppose  $\exists (x^*, \mu^*) \text{ s.t. } g(x^*) \leq 0, \ \mu^* \geq 0, \ \langle \mu^*, g(x^*) \rangle = 0$  and  $\mathcal{L}(x^*, \mu^*) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}(x, \mu^*).$ 

Then  $x^*$  solves (\*). Therefore:

- 1. Minimize  $\mathcal{L}(x,\mu)$  in x to find  $x^*(\mu)$ .
- 2. Maximize  $\mathcal{L}(x^*(\mu), \mu)$  over  $\mu \geq 0$  to find  $\mu^*$ .
- 3. Check  $\langle \mu^*, g(x^*(\mu^*)) \rangle = 0$ .

**Def (compactness).** A set K is compact if every open cover has a finite subcover.

Usually: closed and bounded.

Def (Characteristic function).  $\phi_X(u) = \mathbb{E}e^{i\langle u, X\rangle}$ 

Cumulant generating function:  $\log(Ee^{tX})$ 

 $\bullet$  If it exists, it is convex and infinitely differentiable.

Weighted loss. If  $L(g(\theta), \delta(X)) = w(\theta)(\delta(X) - g(\theta))^2$  the Bayes estimator is  $\delta_0(X) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\theta w(\theta)|X]}{\mathbb{E}[w(\theta)|X]}$ .

An admissible estimator w.r.t sq. err. is also admissible w.r.t. weighted loss.

**Absolute error loss.** If  $L(g(\theta), \delta(X)) = |\delta(X) - g(\theta)|^2$ , the Bayes estimator is  $\delta_0(X) = \text{median}(\theta|X)$ .

**0-1 loss.** If  $L(g(\theta), \delta(X)) = I(\delta(X) \neq g(\theta))$ , the Bayes estimator is  $\delta_0(X) = \text{mode}(\theta|X)$ .

Scaled/shifted Bayes/minimax. If  $\delta(X)$ 

Bayes/minimax for  $g(\theta)$ , then  $a\delta(X)+b$  is Bayes/minimax for  $ag(\theta)+b$ .

- Under sq. err. loss, aX + b is inadmissible for EX if:
  - a > 1 (dominated by X)
  - a < 0 (dominated by  $-\frac{b}{a-1}$ )
  - $a = 1, b \neq 0$  (dominated by X)

Cochran's Thm. Suppose  $Z \sim N(0, \Sigma)$  and  $\Sigma^2 = \Sigma$ . Then  $Z^T Z \sim \chi^2_{tr(\Sigma)} = \chi^2_{r(\Sigma)}$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Convexity characterizations.} \ f \ \text{is convex iff} \\ f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y), \ \forall x,y, \ \forall \lambda \in (0,1) \\ \text{iff} \ \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \leq \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_2)}{x_3 - x_2}, \ \forall x_1 < x_2 < x_3 \\ \text{iff} \ \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \leq \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_1)}{x_3 - x_1}, \ \forall x_1 < x_2 < x_3 \\ \end{array}$ 

**Lyapunov CLT.** Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  are independent with means  $\mu_i$  and variances  $\sigma_i^2$ . Let  $s_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$ . If, for some  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{s_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i - \mu_i|^{2+\delta} = 0$  (Lyapunov's condition). Then  $\frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, 1)$ .

Binomial theorem.  $(x+y)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i y^{n-i}$ 

Sherman-Morrison (Woodbury) formula.

 $(A + uv^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}}{1 + v^TA^{-1}u}.$ 

U stats.  $h(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(x-y)^2 \implies U_n = \frac{1}{n-1}\sum (X_i - \overline{X})^2$ 

## RANDOM FACTS FROM EXERCISES

- $\bullet \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$
- Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Then  $x^{\alpha} \log(x) \to 0$  as  $x \to 0^+$ .
- Let  $P(X_i = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then  $Ee^{\lambda S_n} = (\cosh(\lambda))^n$  and  $P(|S_n| > nt) \le 2e^{-nt^2/2}$
- Suppose  $P_{n,\beta}(Y_i = y_i) = \frac{1}{Z_n(\beta)} \exp(\frac{\beta}{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} y_i y_j)$ . Then  $\frac{Z_n(\beta)}{2^n} \to \exp(-\frac{\beta}{2})(1-\beta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  (HW4 Q2) Also  $\sqrt{nY} \xrightarrow{P_{n,\beta}} N(0, \frac{1}{1-\beta})$  (HW4 Q3)
- Suppose  $X \sim p_{\theta}$ ,  $\Theta_0 \subseteq \Theta_1$ ,  $\delta_0(X)$  is unique UMVUE for  $\theta \in \Theta_0$ , and  $\Theta_1$  also has a UMVUE, and  $\Theta_0$ ,  $\Theta_1$  have the same null sets. If  $\delta_0$  is unbiased for  $\Theta_1$ , then  $\delta_0$  is also a UMVUE for  $\theta \in \Theta_1$  (midterm 1).

### TAYLOR SERIES

- $e^x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \cdots$
- $\log(1+x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{x^k}{k} = x \frac{x^2}{2} + \dots$  for |x| < 1
- $\sin(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)!} x^{2k+1} = x \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} + \cdots$
- If  $\delta(X)$  is  $\left| \bullet \cos(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k)!} x^2 k = 1 \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \cdots \right|$